

University of Wrocław  
Faculty of Mathematics  
and Computer Science  
Mathematical Institute

Uniwersytet Wrocławski  
Wydział Matematyki  
i Informatyki  
Instytut Matematyczny

*Mateusz Kandybo*

CAT(0)-boundaries of free products  
are dense amalgams

Bachelor's thesis  
written under the supervision of  
prof. dr hab. Jacek Świątkowski

Praca licencjacka  
napisana pod kierunkiem  
prof. dr hab. Jacka Świątkowskiego

**Abstract:**

Let  $\Gamma = G * H$  be a free product of infinite groups acting geometrically on a proper CAT(0) space  $X$ . We show that the CAT(0)-boundary  $\partial X$  of  $X$  is homeomorphic to the dense amalgam of the limit sets of factors  $\Lambda G$  and  $\Lambda H$ .

Wrocław, 2022

# 0 Table of contents

<b>0</b>	<b>Table of contents</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	CAT(0) spaces . . . . .	4
2.2	Švarc-Milnor lemma . . . . .	6
2.3	Free products . . . . .	7
2.4	Dense amalgams . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Limit sets</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Separation lemma</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Some preparatory technical lemmas</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Categorisation of geodesic rays</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Proof of the main theorem</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Open problems and concluding remarks</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>29</b>

# 1 Introduction

One of the most important aspects of the geometric group theory are groups acting geometrically on the spaces of non-positive curvature, one of the most discussed types of which are CAT(0) spaces. It turns out that for a CAT(0) space  $X$  there can be defined the so called boundary at infinity  $\partial X$  of a space  $X$ . Since the boundary at infinity depends mostly on global properties of the given CAT(0) space, then a natural hypothesis can be formulated: for every group  $\Gamma$  acting geometrically on CAT(0) spaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , the boundaries  $\partial X_1$  and  $\partial X_2$  are homeomorphic. It turns out, however, that this is not true; the example of a group  $\Gamma$  that acts on two spaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  with non-homeomorphic boundaries was first given by C. B. Croke and B. Kleiner in [3]. Although the general result is false, we can still obtain some information about the boundary  $\partial X$  from the group  $\Gamma$  that acts geometrically on  $X$ .

In this paper I will prove that a boundary of a CAT(0) space  $X$  on which a free product of infinite groups  $\Gamma = G * H$  acts geometrically can be described in terms of limit sets  $\Lambda G$  and  $\Lambda H$  of groups  $G$  and  $H$ . Formally, this result is stated in the following main theorem:

## Main theorem

Let  $\Gamma = G * H$  be a free product of infinite groups and suppose that  $\Gamma$  acts geometrically on a proper CAT(0) space  $X$ . Then  $\partial X$  can be expressed in terms of  $\Lambda G$  and  $\Lambda H$  in the following way:

$$\partial X \cong \tilde{\sqcup}(\Lambda G, \Lambda H),$$

where  $\tilde{\sqcup}$  denotes the operation of dense amalgam of compact metric spaces.

Section 2 contains basic definitions and lemmas that will be used throughout the paper and are sufficiently well described in the literature. This section splits into four parts describing CAT(0) spaces and their boundaries, geometric group actions, free products of groups and dense amalgams. Most of the proofs in this chapter have been omitted and replaced only by relevant literature references. Section 3 consists of definitions and lemmas concerning limit sets that are also very important and used throughout this paper but were only vaguely mentioned in available sources. Section 4 introduces the concept of  $R$ -separation and proves important, technical lemmas that are the basis of reasoning in the next two chapters. Section 5 consists of six general but technical lemmas that are necessary for proving lemmas in the next section. Section 6 is focused on describing geodesic rays in  $\partial_{x_0} X$ . In the first part of this section the notion of generating sequences is described in such a way that it coincides with definition of prolongation given in Section 4 and definitions of limit sets from Section 3. In the second part of this section, several lemmas describing geodesic rays and estimating distances between them are proven. Finally the main theorem is proven in Section 7. Section 8 is written in a slightly looser language and describes open problems that were encountered during the writing of this paper and presents some ideas about how the main theorem could be possibly generalised.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section I will present the most important facts that will be used throughout this paper. Those definitions and theorems are mostly well-known and were described in

detail in [2], [1], [5] and [6]. For several of the lemmas, I found it appropriate to present their proofs, however in most cases I chose to refer the reader to the relevant sources.

## 2.1 CAT(0) spaces

### Definition 2.1 (Geodesic metric space)

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $d(x_1, x_2) = D$ . We will say that  $\gamma : [0, D] \rightarrow X$  is a *geodesic path* between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  if  $\gamma(0) = x_1$ ,  $\gamma(D) = x_2$  and for all  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, D]$  we have  $d(\gamma(t_1), \gamma(t_2)) = |t_1 - t_2|$ . We will say that the constant  $D$  is the *length* of the geodesic path  $\gamma$ .

We will say that a metric space  $(X, d)$  is *geodesic* if for each pair of points  $x_1, x_2$  there is a geodesic path between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ .

### Definition 2.2 (Geodesic triangle)

Let  $(X, d)$  be a geodesic space. An object  $\Delta$  consisting of three points  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in X$  and three geodesic segments  $\gamma_{[A_1, A_2]}$ ,  $\gamma_{[A_1, A_3]}$ ,  $\gamma_{[A_2, A_3]}$  between  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ ,  $A_1$  and  $A_3$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  respectively is called a *geodesic triangle*.

### Definition 2.3 (Comparison triangle)

Let  $(X, d)$  be a geodesic metric space and let  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in X$ . Any triple of points  $A_1^*, A_2^*, A_3^* \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is called a *comparison triple* for  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  if for any  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  the equality holds

$$d(A_i, A_j) = d_e(A_i^*, A_j^*),$$

where  $d_e$  is the euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Moreover we will denote the unique geodesic between  $A_i^*, A_j^*$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^2, d_e)$  as  $\eta_{[A_i^*, A_j^*]}$ . A geodesic triangle consisting of points  $A_i^*$  and geodesic segments  $\eta_{[A_i^*, A_j^*]}$  is called a *comparison triangle* for  $A_1, A_2, A_3$ .

### Fact 2.4

*For every triple of points  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  from geodesic space  $X$  there exists a comparison triangle for  $A_1, A_2, A_3$ , and it is unique up to congruence.*

### Definition 2.5

Let  $(X, d)$  be a geodesic space,  $A, B, C \in X$ , and let  $\gamma_{[A, B]}$ ,  $\gamma_{[A, C]}$ ,  $\gamma_{[B, C]}$  be geodesic paths between  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A$  and  $C$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  respectively. We will say that a geodesic triangle consisting of points  $A, B, C$  and geodesics  $\gamma_{[A, B]}$ ,  $\gamma_{[A, C]}$ ,  $\gamma_{[B, C]}$  in  $X$  is *thin* if for any comparison triangle consisting of points  $A^*, B^*, C^* \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and geodesic segments  $\eta_{[A^*, B^*]}$ ,  $\eta_{[A^*, C^*]}$ ,  $\eta_{[B^*, C^*]}$  the following conditions holds:

$$\forall_{s \in [0, d(A, B)]} \forall_{t \in [0, d(A, C)]} d(\gamma_{[A, B]}(s), \gamma_{[A, C]}(t)) \leq d_e(\eta_{[A^*, B^*]}(s), \eta_{[A^*, C^*]}(t)),$$

$$\forall_{s \in [0, d(A, B)]} \forall_{t \in [0, d(B, C)]} d(\gamma_{[A, B]}(s), \gamma_{[B, C]}(t)) \leq d_e(\eta_{[A^*, B^*]}(s), \eta_{[B^*, C^*]}(t)),$$

$$\forall_{s \in [0, d(A, C)]} \forall_{t \in [0, d(B, C)]} d(\gamma_{[A, C]}(s), \gamma_{[B, C]}(t)) \leq d_e(\eta_{[A^*, C^*]}(s), \eta_{[B^*, C^*]}(t)).$$

### Definition 2.6 (CAT(0) space)

A space  $(X, d)$  is called a *CAT(0) space* if it is geodesic and if every geodesic triangle in  $X$  is thin.

### Definition 2.7 (Proper metric space)

We will say that a space  $(X, d)$  is *proper* if every closed ball in  $X$  is compact.

**Fact 2.8**

Every proper metric space is complete.

**Definition 2.9**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a CAT(0) space and let  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  be geodesic paths of equal length  $D$  in a CAT(0) space  $(X, d)$ . Then we define the *distance function*  $f : [0, D] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  between  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  as follows:

$$f(t) = d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)).$$

The following lemma is well-known and considered an important result about geometry of CAT(0) spaces. A detailed proof can be found in [2] (Proposition II.2.2).

**Lemma 2.10**

Let  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  be geodesic paths of equal length  $D$  in a CAT(0) space  $(X, d)$ . Then the distance function between  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  is convex.

**Definition 2.11 (Geodesic ray)**

Given a CAT(0) space  $(X, d)$ , we say that a curve  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  is a *geodesic ray* if for every  $s, t \geq 0$  the following equality holds:

$$d(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)) = |s - t|.$$

Moreover we will say that  $\gamma$  starts at  $x_0$  if  $\gamma(0) = x_0$

**Definition 2.12 (Asymptotic geodesic rays)**

Two geodesic rays  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are *asymptotic* if there exists a constant  $K \geq 0$  such that for every  $t \geq 0$  we have:

$$d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) \leq K.$$

We denote asymptoticity of  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  by  $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$ .

**Fact 2.13**

The relation  $\sim$  on the set of geodesic rays in a CAT(0) space is an equivalence relation.

The following lemma is important for defining the boundary at infinity of a CAT(0) space. For a proof see [2] (Proposition II.8.2).

**Lemma 2.14**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a proper CAT(0) space. For every point  $x_0 \in X$  and for every geodesic ray  $\gamma$  there exist a unique geodesic ray  $\gamma'$  starting at  $x_0$  such that  $\gamma \sim \gamma'$ .

**Definition 2.15**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a proper CAT(0) space. We will denote the set of all geodesic rays starting at  $x_0$  by  $\partial_{x_0}X$ .

**Definition 2.16 (Boundary at infinity)**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a proper CAT(0) space. The set  $\partial X$  is defined as:

$$\partial X = \{\gamma : \gamma \text{ is a geodesic ray in } X\} / \sim.$$

$\partial X$  is sometimes called the *boundary at infinity* of  $X$  (or simply *boundary* of  $X$ ).

**Fact 2.17**

There is a canonical bijection between  $\partial_{x_0}X$  and  $\partial X$  that maps elements of  $\partial_{x_0}X$  to their equivalence classes in  $\partial X$ .

**Definition 2.18 (Topology on the boundary)**

There is a natural topology  $\tau_{x_0}$  on  $\partial_{x_0}X$  generated by the basis of open sets

$$N_{x_0}(R, \varepsilon, \gamma) = \{\gamma' \in \partial_{x_0}X : d(\gamma(R), \gamma'(R)) < \varepsilon\},$$

where  $R > 0, \varepsilon > 0$  and  $\gamma$  is a geodesic ray starting at  $x_0$ .

We define a topology on  $\partial X$  as the topology induced from  $\tau_{x_0}$  by the canonical bijection between  $\partial_{x_0}X$  and  $\partial X$ .

**Fact 2.19**

*The topology on  $\partial X$  does not depend on the choice of the base point  $x_0$ .*

The following lemma is a particularly useful fact about boundaries at infinity. For more details see [2] (Definition II.8.6).

**Lemma 2.20**

*For a proper CAT(0) space  $X$ , its boundary at infinity  $\partial X$  is compact.*

It turns out that boundaries of proper CAT(0) spaces are metrizable. This fact can be most directly deduced from the following observation from [5] (Proposition 9.6).

**Definition 2.21 (Osajda's metric)**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a proper CAT(0) space. For a positive constant  $A$  we define *Osajda's metric*  $d_A$  on  $\partial_{x_0}X$  as follows:

$$d_A(\gamma, \gamma') = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{iff } \gamma = \gamma' \\ \frac{1}{M}, & \text{where } M = \inf \{t \in [0, \infty) : d(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t)) \geq A\} \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.22**

*$d_A$  is a metric on  $\partial_{x_0}X$  and it is compatible with the topology  $\tau_{x_0}$  on  $\partial_{x_0}X$ .*

## 2.2 Švarc-Milnor lemma

The concepts below are explained in more details in section I.8 of [2].

**Definition 2.23 (Geometric group action)**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a proper geodesic space and let  $\Gamma$  be a group that acts on  $X$  by isometries. Then we say that the action of  $\Gamma$  is

- *properly discontinuous* if for every compact set  $K \subseteq X$  the set  $\{g \in \Gamma : K \cap g \cdot K\}$  is finite;
- *cocompact* if there exist a compact set  $K \subseteq X$  such that  $\bigcup_{g \in \Gamma} g \cdot K = X$ .

We will say that action of  $\Gamma$  is *geometric* if it is properly discontinuous and cocompact. We will denote the fact that  $\Gamma$  acts on  $X$  geometrically by  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ .

**Definition 2.24 (Word metric)**

Let  $\Gamma$  be any group and  $\mathcal{A}$  its set of generators. Then we define the *word metric* associated with  $\mathcal{A}$  as a metric on  $\Gamma$  given by the formula  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(g, g') = n$ , where  $n$  is the length of  $g'g^{-1}$  expressed as a shortest word over generators from  $\mathcal{A}$  and their inverses. Moreover we will denote  $|g|_{\mathcal{A}} = d_{\mathcal{A}}(1, g)$ .

**Definition 2.25 (Quasi-isometries)**

Let  $(Y, d_Y)$  and  $(X, d_X)$  be two metric spaces. Given some constants  $L \geq 1$  and  $A \geq 0$ , a map  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is called an  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometric embedding if for every  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$  we have the following estimates:

$$\frac{1}{L}d_Y(y_1, y_2) - A \leq d_X(f(y_1), f(y_2)) \leq Ld_Y(y_1, y_2) + A.$$

A map  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is  $A$ -quasi-dense if for every  $x \in X$  there is a  $y \in Y$  such that  $d_X(x, f(y)) \leq A$ .

A map  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is called an  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry if  $f$  is both an  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometric embedding and is  $A$ -quasi-dense. A map is called a quasi-isometry if it is an  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry for some  $L \geq 1, A \geq 0$ .

The following theorem is one of the most important facts about groups acting geometrically on geodesic spaces. It was presented and proven in [2] (Proposition I.8.19).

**Lemma 2.26 (Švarc-Milnor lemma)**

Let  $\Gamma$  be a group acting geometrically on a geodesic space  $(X, d)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is finitely generated and for any finite generating set  $\mathcal{S}$  and any  $x_0 \in X$  the map  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow X$  given by the formula  $f(g) = g \cdot x_0$  is a quasi-isometry between  $(\Gamma, d_{\mathcal{S}})$  and  $(X, d)$ .

**2.3 Free products**

Definitions and lemmas in this subsection are described in more detail and proven in section IV of [1].

**Definition 2.27 (Free product)**

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be groups with presentations  $\langle S_G; R_G \rangle$  and  $\langle S_H; R_H \rangle$  respectively. Then the free product of  $G$  and  $H$  is defined as the group  $G * H = \langle S_G \sqcup S_H; R_G \sqcup R_H \rangle$ , where  $\sqcup$  denotes the operation of disjoint union.

**Lemma 2.28**

The free product  $G * H$  is well-defined and does not depend on choice of presentations for  $G$  and  $H$ .

**Lemma 2.29 (Normal form lemma)**

Each element  $w$  from the group  $G * H$  can be uniquely written in the form  $w = g_1 h_1 \dots g_n h_n$ , where  $n \geq 1$ ,  $g_1 \in G$ ,  $g_2, \dots, g_n \in G \setminus \{1\}$ ,  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{n-1} \in H \setminus \{1\}$  and  $h_n \in H$ . We will call this form the normal form of  $w$ .

**Fact 2.30**

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be groups with presentations  $\langle S_G; R_G \rangle$  and  $\langle S_H; R_H \rangle$  respectively. Moreover let  $w \in G * H$  and let  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_n h_n$  be the normal form of  $w$ . Then

$$|g_1 h_1 \dots g_n h_n|_{\mathcal{A}} = |g_1|_{\mathcal{A}} + |h_1|_{\mathcal{A}} + \dots + |g_n|_{\mathcal{A}} + |h_n|_{\mathcal{A}},$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = S_G \sqcup S_H$ .

**2.4 Dense amalgams****Definition 2.31**

Let  $X$  be a metrisable topological space and let  $\mathcal{Y} = \{Y_1, Y_2, \dots\}$  be a countable family of

subsets of  $X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{Y}$  is *null with respect to the metric  $d$  on  $X$*  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(Y_n) = 0$  where  $\text{diam}(A)$  is the diameter of set  $A$  in the metric  $d$ .

**Lemma 2.32**

Let  $X$  be a compact topological space and let  $d_1, d_2$  be metrics compatible with the topology on  $X$ . We will denote diameters in metrics  $d_1, d_2$  as  $\text{diam}_1, \text{diam}_2$  respectively. Moreover let  $Y_n$  be a family of subsets of  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}_1(Y_n) = 0$ . Then we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}_2(Y_n) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let us assume on the contrary that the diameters  $\text{diam}_2(Y_n)$  do not converge to 0. Then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that there exist a subsequence  $Y_{n_k}$  such that  $\text{diam}_2(Y_{n_k}) > \varepsilon$ . Let  $y_{n_k}, y'_{n_k} \in Y_{n_k}$  be such that  $d_2(y_{n_k}, y'_{n_k}) \geq \varepsilon$ . Now we take such a subsequence  $n_{k_l}$  of  $n_k$  that  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} y_{n_{k_l}}$  and  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} y'_{n_{k_l}}$  both exist. Since  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}_1(Y_{n_{k_l}}) = 0$ , then  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} y_{n_{k_l}} = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} y'_{n_{k_l}}$ . Therefore  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} d_2(y_{n_{k_l}}, y_{n_{k_l}}) = 0$  and thus  $d_2(y_{n_{k_l}}, y_{n_{k_l}}) < \varepsilon$  for sufficiently large  $l$ . This contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 2.33 (Null family)**

We say that the family  $\mathcal{Y}$  is *null* if it is null with respect to any metric compatible with the topology on  $X$ .

An important concept appearing in the main theorem of this paper is the operation of dense amalgam. This operation was described in great detail in [6].

**Definition 2.34 (Dense amalgam of compact metric spaces)**

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a collection of nonempty compact metric spaces. Then the dense amalgam of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  is defined as the unique (up to homeomorphism) compact metric space  $Y$  that can be equipped with a countable infinite family  $\mathcal{Y}$  of subsets of  $Y$  partitioned as  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{Y}_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathcal{Y}_n$  such that

- (i) The subsets in  $\mathcal{Y}$  are pairwise disjoint and for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  the family  $\mathcal{Y}_i$  consist of embedded copies of the space  $X_i$ ;
- (ii) the family  $\mathcal{Y}$  is null;
- (iii) each  $Z \in \mathcal{Y}$  is a boundary subset of  $Y$  (i.e. its complement is dense);
- (iv) for each  $i$ , the union of the family  $\mathcal{Y}_i$  is dense in  $Y$ ;
- (v) any two points of  $Y$  which do not belong to the same subset from  $\mathcal{Y}$  can be separated from each other by a clopen subset  $Q \subseteq Y$  which is  $\mathcal{Y}$ -saturated (i.e. such that any element of  $\mathcal{Y}$  is either contained in or disjoint with  $Q$ ).

The dense amalgam of nonempty, compact metric spaces  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  will be denoted by  $\tilde{\sqcup}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .

### 3 Limit sets

**Definition 3.1**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a CAT(0) space and let  $\gamma_n$  be a sequence consisting of geodesic rays or geodesic paths. Moreover let  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  be a geodesic ray. Then we will write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = \gamma$$

if for every  $t \geq 0$  the point  $\gamma_n(t)$  is well-defined for almost every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(t) = \gamma(t)$ .

**Lemma 3.2**

Let  $\gamma_n$  be a sequence of geodesic paths of length  $d_n$  in a proper CAT(0) space  $(X, d)$  starting at a base point  $x_0 \in X$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = \infty$ , then there exist a geodesic ray  $\gamma$  and a subsequence  $\gamma_{n_k}$  of  $\gamma_n$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n_k} = \gamma$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = \infty$ , for each  $R > 0$  the sequence  $\gamma_n(R)$  is well-defined for almost every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover,  $\gamma_n(R) \in \overline{B}(x_0, R)$  for each  $n$  such that  $d_n \geq R$ , so since  $X$  is proper then  $\overline{B}(x_0, R)$  is compact, so there exist a subsequence  $\gamma_{n_k}(R)$  of  $\gamma_n(R)$  that converges. From Lemma 2.10, we can conclude that for all  $t \in [0, R]$  we have the following estimation:

$$d(\gamma_{n_k}(t), \gamma_{n_{k'}}(t)) \leq \frac{t}{R} d(\gamma_{n_k}(R), \gamma_{n_{k'}}(R)) \leq d(\gamma_{n_k}(R), \gamma_{n_{k'}}(R)).$$

So, since  $\gamma_{n_k}(R)$  was a Cauchy sequence, then  $\gamma_{n_k}(t)$  is also a Cauchy sequence, so it converges. Now we will define the sequences  $\gamma_n^{(k)}$ . Let  $\gamma_n^{(0)} = \gamma_n$  and  $\gamma_n^{(k+1)}$  will be such a subsequence of  $\gamma_n^{(k)}$  that  $\gamma_n^{(k+1)}(k+1)$  converges. We will denote  $\tilde{\gamma}_k = \gamma_k^{(k)}$ . Obviously for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the sequence  $\tilde{\gamma}_n(k)$  from some point is a subsequence of  $\gamma_n^{(k)}(k)$ . Therefore from the reasoning above we know that  $\tilde{\gamma}_n(t)$  is convergent for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Now let  $\gamma(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\gamma}_n(t)$ . We will show that  $\gamma$  is a geodesic ray. Let  $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ . From the triangle inequality we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) &\leq d(\gamma(t), \tilde{\gamma}_n(t)) + d(\tilde{\gamma}_n(t), \tilde{\gamma}_n(s)) + d(\tilde{\gamma}_n(s), \gamma(s)) \\ &= |s - t| + d(\gamma(t), \tilde{\gamma}_n(t)) + d(\gamma(s), \tilde{\gamma}_n(s)) \\ d(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) &\geq d(\tilde{\gamma}_n(t), \tilde{\gamma}_n(s)) - d(\tilde{\gamma}_n(s), \gamma(s)) - d(\tilde{\gamma}_n(t), \gamma(t)) \\ &= |s - t| - d(\gamma(t), \tilde{\gamma}_n(t)) - d(\gamma(s), \tilde{\gamma}_n(s)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from the squeeze theorem,  $d(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) = |t - s|$ , which shows that  $\gamma$  is a geodesic ray and ends the proof.  $\square$

The following observation concerning CAT(0) spaces will be needed in the later parts of this section.

**Lemma 3.3 (Triangle cutting lemma)**

Let  $A, B, C$  be any points in a CAT(0) space  $(X, d)$ , such that  $d(A, B) = c$ ,  $d(A, C) = b$  and  $d(B, C) = a$  where  $b \geq c$ . Then  $d(B, \gamma_{[A, C]}(c)) \leq a$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A^*, B^*, C^*$  be the vertices of a comparison triangle for  $A, B, C$  and let  $D^*$  be such a point on the edge  $A^*C^*$  that  $|A^*D^*| = |A^*B^*|$ . Since  $A^*B^*D^*$  is an isosceles triangle then  $\angle A^*D^*B^* \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$  and thus  $\angle C^*D^*B^* \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Therefore from the definition of CAT(0) space and the cosine formula we know that

$$\begin{aligned} d(B, C) = |B^*C^*| &= \sqrt{|B^*D^*|^2 + |D^*C^*|^2 - 2|B^*D^*||D^*C^*|\cos(\angle C^*D^*B^*)} \\ &\geq \sqrt{|B^*D^*|^2} = |B^*D^*| \geq d(B, \gamma_{[A, C]}(c)). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Limit sets are subsets of boundary that are necessary to formulate the main theorem of this paper. They were described in [4] (section 3).

**Definition 3.4**

Let  $X$  be a proper CAT(0) space and let  $A \subseteq X$ . Then the *limit set of  $A$  with respect to  $x_0$*  is defined as

$$\Lambda_{x_0}A = \left\{ \gamma \in \partial_{x_0}X : \gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, a_n]} \text{ for } a_n \in A \right\},$$

where  $x_0 \in X$  is a base point.

The lemma below guarantees that the limit sets are well-defined and do not depend on the choice of a base point  $x_0$ .

**Lemma 3.5**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a proper CAT(0) space, let  $A \subseteq X$  and let  $x_0, x_1 \in X$ . Then for every  $\gamma \in \Lambda_{x_0}A$  there exist  $\gamma' \in \Lambda_{x_1}A$  such that  $\gamma \sim \gamma'$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a_n \in A$  be such sequence that  $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, a_n]}$ . Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_1, a_n) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_0, a_n) - d(x_0, x_1) = \infty$$

then from Lemma 3.2 there is such subsequence  $a_{n_k}$  of  $a_n$  that there exist a geodesic ray  $\gamma' = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_1, a_{n_k}]} \in \Lambda_{x_1}A$ . We will show that  $\gamma \sim \gamma'$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0, t \geq 0$  be any numbers and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $d(\gamma(t), \gamma_{[x_0, a_{n_k}]}(t)) < \varepsilon$  and  $d(\gamma'(t), \gamma_{[x_1, a_{n_k}]}(t)) < \varepsilon$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $d(x_0, a_{n_k}) \geq d(x_1, a_{n_k})$ , let  $x_2 = \gamma_{[x_0, a_{n_k}]}(d(x_1, a_{n_k}))$ . From triangle inequality we have  $d(x_0, a_{n_k}) - d(x_1, a_{n_k}) \leq d(x_0, x_1)$  and therefore from convexity of the distance function for geodesic segments  $\gamma_{[x_1, a_{n_k}]}, \gamma_{x_0, x_2}$  we know that

$$d(\gamma_{[x_0, a_{n_k}]}(t), \gamma_{[x_1, a_{n_k}]}(t)) = d(\gamma_{[x_0, x_2]}(t), \gamma_{[x_1, a_{n_k}]}(t)) \leq \max \{d(x_0, x_1), d(a_{n_k}, x_2)\} = d(x_0, x_1).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t)) &\leq d(\gamma(t), \gamma_{[x_0, a_{n_k}]}(t)) + d(\gamma_{[x_0, a_{n_k}]}(t), \gamma_{[x_1, a_{n_k}]}(t)) \\ &\quad + d(\gamma_{[x_1, a_{n_k}]}(t), \gamma'(t)) \leq d(x_0, x_1) + 2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $\gamma \sim \gamma'$ . □

**Definition 3.6 (Limit set)**

The *limit set of  $A$*  is defined as

$$\Lambda A = \left( \bigcup_{x_0 \in X} \Lambda_{x_0}A \right) / \sim,$$

where  $\sim$  is the relation of asymptoticity on geodesic rays. From Lemma 3.5 we conclude that  $\Lambda A$  is naturally identified with set  $\Lambda_{x_0}A$  for any base point  $x_0 \in X$ . Topology on the set  $\Lambda A$  is defined as the topology induced from  $\partial X$ .

Moreover, let  $\Gamma$  be a group such that  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ , let  $G < \Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $\Gamma$  and let  $k \in \Gamma$  be an element of  $\Gamma$ . Then we can define the limit set of a subgroup and limit set of a coset as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda G &= \Lambda(G \cdot x) \\ \Lambda(kG) &= \Lambda(kG \cdot x) \end{aligned}$$

for an  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma 3.7**

Let  $\Gamma$  be any group such that  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  for a proper CAT(0) space  $(X, d)$ , let  $G < \Gamma$  and let  $x, x' \in X$ . Then  $\Lambda(G \cdot x) = \Lambda(G \cdot x')$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be a fixed point and let  $g_n \in G$  be such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, g_n \cdot x]} = \gamma$  for a geodesic ray  $\gamma$ . Let  $t \geq 0, \varepsilon > 0$  be any numbers and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $d(x_0, g_n \cdot x) \geq \max \left\{ \frac{2td(x, x')}{\varepsilon} + d(x, x'), t + d(x, x') \right\}$  and  $d(\gamma_{[x_0, g_n \cdot x]}(t), \gamma(t)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Then we have

$$d(x_0, g_n \cdot x') \geq d(x_0, g_n \cdot x) - d(g_n \cdot x, g_n \cdot x') = d(x_0, g_n \cdot x) - d(x, x') \geq \max \left\{ \frac{2td(x, x')}{\varepsilon}, t \right\}.$$

Let  $s = \min \{d(x_0, g_n \cdot x), d(x_0, g_n \cdot x')\}$ . From triangle cutting lemma and convexity of the distance function we can now estimate

$$\begin{aligned} d(\gamma(t), \gamma_{[x_0, g_n \cdot x']}(t)) &\leq d(\gamma(t), \gamma_{[x_0, g_n \cdot x]}(t)) + d(\gamma_{[x_0, g_n \cdot x]}(t), \gamma_{[x_0, g_n \cdot x']}(t)) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{t}{s} d(\gamma_{[x_0, g_n \cdot x]}(s), \gamma_{[x_0, g_n \cdot x']}(s)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{t}{s} d(g_n \cdot x, g_n \cdot x') = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{t}{s} d(x, x') = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8**

Let  $X$  be a proper CAT(0) space, let  $x \in X$  and let  $\Gamma$  a group be such that  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ . Moreover let  $G < \Gamma$ . Then for any two cosets  $kG$  and  $k'G$  we have  $\Lambda kG \cong \Lambda k'G$ .

*Proof.* We will show that for any  $k \in \Gamma$  we have  $\Lambda G \cong \Lambda kG$ . Let  $x \in X$  be any fixed point. Then we have

$$\Lambda(kG) = \Lambda(kG \cdot x) \cong \Lambda_x(kG \cdot x) \cong \Lambda_{k \cdot x}(kG \cdot x) \cong \Lambda_x(G \cdot x) \cong \Lambda(G \cdot x) = \Lambda G,$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Separation lemma

**Definition 4.1 (Separating set)**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a pathwise connected space and let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . We will say that a set  $K \subseteq X$  separates  $x_1$  from  $x_2$  if  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are in different pathwise connected components of  $X \setminus K$ .

**Definition 4.2 (R-separating set)**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . We will say that a set  $I \subseteq X$  R-separates  $x_1$  from  $x_2$  if there exist  $S_1, S_2 \subseteq X$  such that  $X \setminus I = S_1 \sqcup S_2$ ,  $x_1 \in S_1$ ,  $x_2 \in S_2$ ,  $d(x_1, I) \geq R$ ,  $d(x_2, I) \geq R$ , and  $d(S_1, S_2) \geq R$ .

**Definition 4.3 (A-neighbourhoods)**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $K \subseteq X$ . We define the  $A$ -neighbourhood of  $K$  in  $X$  as the set

$$\{x \in X : d(x, K) \leq A\}.$$

We will denote the  $A$ -neighbourhood of a set  $K$  by  $N_A(K)$ .

**Lemma 4.4 (R-separation lemma)**

Let  $(Y, d_Y)$  be a metric space, let  $(X, d_X)$  be a pathwise connected space and let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry. Moreover let  $y_1, y_2$  be elements of  $Y$  such that there exists a set  $I \subseteq Y$   $(3LA + \varepsilon)$ -separates  $y_1$  from  $y_2$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then the set  $K = N_A(f(I))$  separates  $f(y_1)$  from  $f(y_2)$  in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Firstly, we acknowledge the fact that  $f(y_1), f(y_2) \notin K$ . Without loss of generality we will show that  $f(y_1) \notin K$ . Assume on the contrary that  $f(y_1) \in K$ . Then  $d_X(f(I), f(y_1)) \leq A$ , so by the definition of quasi-isometry we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} A \geq d_X(f(I), f(y_1)) &= \inf_{y \in I} d_X(f(y), f(y_1)) \geq \inf_{y \in I} \frac{1}{L} d_Y(y, y_1) - A \\ &\geq \frac{3LA + \varepsilon}{L} - A = 2A + \frac{\varepsilon}{L} > A. \end{aligned}$$

We get a contradiction that ends this part of the proof.

Now let us assume that  $K$  does not separate  $f(y_1)$  from  $f(y_2)$ . Then there exists a path  $c$  in  $X \setminus K$  between  $f(y_1)$  and  $f(y_2)$ , so there is also a sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  such that  $x_1 = f(y_1), x_n = f(y_2)$  and for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  we have  $d_X(x_i, x_{i+1}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{L}$ . From the definition of a quasi-isometry we know that there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $d_X(x, f(y)) \leq A$ , so let  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in Y$  be such that  $d_X(x_i, f(z_i)) \leq A$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . But then for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(z_i, z_{i+1}) &\leq L d_X(f(z_i), f(z_{i+1})) + LA \leq L(d_X(f(z_i), x_i) + d_X(x_i, x_{i+1}) + d_X(x_{i+1}, f(z_{i+1}))) \\ &\quad + LA \leq L\left(A + \frac{\varepsilon}{L} + A\right) + LA < 3LA + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since the set  $I$   $(3LA + \varepsilon)$ -separates  $z_1$  from  $z_n$ , there exist sets  $S_1, S_2$  such that we have  $S_1 \sqcup S_2 = X \setminus I$ ,  $z_1 \in S_1$ ,  $z_2 \in S_2$ ,  $d(x_1, I) \geq R$ ,  $d(x_2, I) \geq R$  and  $d(S_1, S_2) \geq R$ . Therefore  $z_1 \in S_1$  and  $z_n \notin S_n$ , so let  $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be the biggest index such that  $z_{i_0} \in S_1$ . Then we have  $z_{i_0+1} \notin S_2$ : assume on the contrary that  $z_{i_0+1} \in S_2$ . We can now estimate that

$$3LA + \varepsilon \leq d_Y(S_1, S_2) \leq d_Y(z_{i_0}, z_{i_0+1}) < 3LA + \varepsilon.$$

This contradiction proves that  $z_{i_0+1} \notin S_2$ , therefore  $z_{i_0+1} \in I$ . But then we have the following estimate:

$$d_X(x_{i_0+1}, f(I)) \leq d_X(x_{i_0+1}, f(z_{i_0+1})) \leq A,$$

So  $x_{i_0+1} \in K$  and we have a contradiction with our choice of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , which ends the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 4.5**

Let  $\Gamma = G * H$  be a free product of groups and let  $w, v$  be elements of  $\Gamma$ . Moreover let  $w = g_1 h_1 \dots g_n h_n$  and  $v = \tilde{g}_1 \tilde{h}_1 \dots \tilde{g}_{\tilde{n}} \tilde{h}_{\tilde{n}}$  be the normal forms of  $w$  and  $v$ . Then  $w$  is called a *prolongation* of  $v$  if

- $w \neq 1$  when  $v = 1$ ;
- $n \geq \tilde{n}$  and for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{n} - 1\}$  we have the equalities  $\tilde{g}_i = g_i$ ,  $\tilde{h}_i = h_i$ ,  $\tilde{g}_{\tilde{n}} = g_{\tilde{n}}$ , and  $h_{\tilde{n}} \neq 1$  when  $\tilde{h}_{\tilde{n}} = 1$ ;
- $n > \tilde{n}$  and for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{n}\}$  we have the equalities  $\tilde{g}_i = g_i$ ,  $\tilde{h}_i = h_i$  otherwise.

**Lemma 4.6**

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be groups with presentations  $\langle \mathcal{A}_G; R_G \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{A}_H; R_H \rangle$  respectively. Moreover, let  $\Gamma = G * H$  and let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_G \sqcup \mathcal{A}_H$  be a set of generators of  $\Gamma$ . Furthermore, let  $R > 0$  and  $g, g_1, g_2 \in \Gamma$ . If  $g_1$  is a prolongation of  $g$ ,  $g_2$  is not a prolongation of  $g$ ,  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(g, g_1) \geq 2R$  and  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(g, g_2) \geq 2R$  then the set  $I = B(g, R)$   $R$ -separates  $g_1$  from  $g_2$  in  $(\Gamma, d_{\mathcal{A}})$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$S_1 = \{g' \in \Gamma \setminus I : g' \text{ is a prolongation of } g\}$$

and

$$S_2 = \{g' \in \Gamma \setminus I : g' \text{ is not a prolongation of } g\}$$

be sets from the definition of  $R$ -separation. Obviously  $S_1 \sqcup S_2 = \Gamma \setminus I$ , and moreover we have the estimates:

$$d_{\mathcal{A}}(g_1, I) \geq d_{\mathcal{A}}(g_1, g) - \sup_{g'' \in I} d_{\mathcal{A}}(g, g'') > 2R - R = R$$

$$d_{\mathcal{A}}(g_2, I) \geq d_{\mathcal{A}}(g_2, g) - \sup_{g'' \in I} d_{\mathcal{A}}(g, g'') > 2R - R = R,$$

hence we only need to show that  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(S_1, S_2) \geq R$ . Let  $g' \in S_1, g'' \in S_2$  be any elements of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  respectively. Without loss of generality let's assume that  $g^{(1)}h^{(1)} \dots g^{(N)}h^{(N)}$  is the normal form of  $g$  and  $h^{(N)} \neq 1$ . Since  $g'$  is a prolongation of  $g$  then  $g'$  has a normal form  $g^{(1)}h^{(1)} \dots g^{(N)}h^{(N)}g^{(N+1)}h^{(N+1)} \dots g^{(M)}h^{(M)}$ . Moreover, since  $g''$  is not a prolongation of  $g$ ,  $g''$  has the normal form  $g^{(1)}h^{(1)} \dots g^{(L)}h^{(L)}\hat{g}^{(L+1)}\hat{h}^{(L+1)} \dots \hat{g}^{(K)}\hat{h}^{(K)}$  where  $L < N$  and at least one of the inequalities  $g^{(L+1)} \neq \hat{g}^{(L+1)}$ ,  $h^{(L+1)} \neq \hat{h}^{(L+1)}$  hold. Without loss of generality let's assume that  $g^{(L+1)} \neq \hat{g}^{(L+1)}$ . We know that  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(g', g'')$  is equal to the length of

$$(\hat{g}^{(K)})^{(-1)} \dots (\hat{h}^{(L+1)})^{(-1)} (\hat{g}^{(L+1)})^{(-1)} g^{(L+1)}h^{(L+1)} \dots g^{(N)}h^{(N)}g^{(N+1)}h^{(N+1)} \dots h^{(M)}$$

expressed as the shortest word over generators  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (\hat{g}^{(K)})^{(-1)} \dots (\hat{h}^{(L+1)})^{(-1)} (\hat{g}^{(L+1)})^{(-1)} g^{(L+1)}h^{(L+1)} \dots g^{(N)}h^{(N)}g^{(N+1)}h^{(N+1)} \dots h^{(M)} \right|_{\mathcal{A}} \\ &= \left| (\hat{g}^{(K)})^{(-1)} \right|_{\mathcal{A}} + \dots + \left| (\hat{g}^{(L+1)})^{(-1)} \right|_{\mathcal{A}} + |g^{(L+1)}|_{\mathcal{A}} + \dots + |h^{(N)}|_{\mathcal{A}} + |g^{(N+1)}|_{\mathcal{A}} + \dots + |h^{(M)}|_{\mathcal{A}} \\ &= \left| (\hat{g}^{(K)})^{(-1)} \dots (\hat{g}^{(L+1)})^{(-1)} g^{(L+1)} \dots h^{(N)} \right|_{\mathcal{A}} + |g^{(N+1)} \dots h^{(M)}|_{\mathcal{A}} = |g'g^{(-1)}|_{\mathcal{A}} + |g''g^{(-1)}|_{\mathcal{A}} \geq 2R. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(S_1, S_2) \geq R$ , which ends the proof.  $\square$

## 5 Some preparatory technical lemmas

**Lemma 5.1**

Let  $(Y, d_Y)$  be a metric space and  $(X, d_X)$  be a proper  $CAT(0)$  space such that there exists an  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ . Moreover, let  $y_n$  be such sequence of points in  $Y$  that there exist a radius  $R > 0$  and a positive constant  $\delta$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there

exist a point  $\tilde{y} \in Y$  and natural number  $N$  such that the ball  $B(\tilde{y}, R)$   $(3LA + \delta)$ -separates  $y_0$  from all points  $y_n$  for  $n > N$  and

$$\frac{1}{d_Y(y_0, \tilde{y})} < \varepsilon.$$

Then there exists a geodesic ray  $\gamma$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[f(y_0), f(y_n)]} = \gamma$ .

*Proof.* Let us denote  $\gamma_n = \gamma_{[f(y_0), f(y_n)]}$ . First we will show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_X(f(y_0), f(y_n)) = \infty.$$

Let  $D > 0$ . We will show that there is a natural number  $N$  such that for every  $n > N$  we have  $d_X(f(y_0), f(y_n)) > D$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{L(D+2A)+R}$ , let  $\tilde{y} \in Y$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $I = B(\tilde{y}, R)$   $(3LA + \delta)$ -separates  $y_0$  from all points  $y_n$  for  $n > N$  and  $1/d_Y(y_0, \tilde{y}) < \varepsilon$ . Then according to the  $R$ -separation lemma the set  $K = N_A(f(I))$  separates  $f(y_0)$  from  $f(y_n)$ . Thus the geodesic path between  $f(y_0)$  and  $f(y_n)$  has to pass through  $K$ . Therefore we have the following estimations:

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(f(y_0), f(y_n)) &\geq d_X(f(y_0), K) \geq \frac{1}{L}d_Y(y_0, I) - 2A \\ &\geq \frac{1}{L}(d(y_0, \tilde{y}) - R) - 2A > \frac{1}{L\varepsilon} - \frac{R}{L} - 2A = D, \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_X(f(y_0), f(y_n)) = \infty$ .

From Lemma 3.2 we conclude that there is a subsequence  $\gamma_{n_k}$  of  $\gamma_n$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n_k} = \gamma$  for a geodesic ray  $\gamma$ . Now we will show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = \gamma$ . Let  $t \geq 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be any constants. We will show that there exists  $\tilde{N}$  such that for any  $n > \tilde{N}$  we have  $d_X(\gamma_n(t), \gamma(t)) < \epsilon$ . Let  $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$  be such that

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} < \min \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2Lt(2LR + 3A) + \epsilon R + 2LA\epsilon}, \frac{1}{R + 2LA + Lt} \right).$$

Let  $\tilde{N} \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\hat{y} \in Y$  be such that for every  $n > \tilde{N}$  the ball  $\tilde{I} = B(\hat{y}, R)$   $(3LA + \delta)$ -separates  $y_0$  from all points  $y_n$ , and  $\frac{1}{d_Y(y_0, \hat{y})} < \tilde{\varepsilon}$ . Furthermore let  $k$  be such that we have  $n_k > N$  and  $d_X(\gamma_{n_k}(t), \gamma(t)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . We know from the  $R$ -separation lemma that for all  $n > \tilde{N}$  the set  $\tilde{K} = N_A(f(\tilde{I}))$  separates  $f(y_0)$  apart from  $f(y_n)$ , and thus the geodesic paths  $\gamma_n$  and  $\gamma_{n_k}$  have to pass through  $\tilde{K}$ . Let  $s, s'$  be such that  $\gamma_n(s) \in \tilde{K}$  and  $\gamma_{n_k}(s') \in \tilde{K}$ . Then we can estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \min(s, s') &\geq d_X(f(y_0), K) \geq d_X(f(y_0), f(\tilde{I})) - A \geq \frac{1}{L}d_Y(y_0, \tilde{I}) - 2A \\ &\geq \frac{1}{L}d_Y(y_0, \hat{y}) - \frac{R}{L} - 2A > \frac{1}{L\tilde{\varepsilon}} - \frac{R}{L} - 2A. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{\varepsilon} < \frac{1}{R+2LA+Lt}$  then we know that  $\frac{1}{L\tilde{\varepsilon}} - \frac{R}{L} - 2A > t$ . We can also estimate

$$d_X(\gamma_n(s), \gamma_{n_k}(s')) \leq \text{diam}(K) \leq \text{diam}(f(I)) + 2A \leq L \text{diam}(I) + 3A \leq 2LR + 3A.$$

Therefore from convexity of the distance function and triangle cutting lemma we have

$$d_X(\gamma_n(t), \gamma_{n_k}(t)) \leq \frac{t}{\min(s, s')} d_X(\gamma_n(\min(s, s')), \gamma_{n_k}(\min(s, s')))$$

$$\leq \frac{t}{\min(s, s')} d_X(\gamma_n(s), \gamma_{n_k}(s')) < \frac{t(2LR + 3A)}{1/L\tilde{\epsilon} - R/L - 2A}.$$

Since  $\tilde{\epsilon} < \frac{\epsilon}{2Lt(2LR+3A)+\epsilon R+2LA\epsilon}$  then

$$\frac{t(2LR + 3A)}{1/L\tilde{\epsilon} - R/L - 2A} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Now we have

$$d_X(\gamma_n(t), \gamma(t)) \leq d_X(\gamma_n(t), \gamma_{n_k}(t)) + d_X(\gamma_{n_k}(t), \gamma(t)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon,$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

### Lemma 5.2

Let  $(Y, d_Y)$  be a metric space and  $(X, d_X)$  be a proper CAT(0) space such that there exists an  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ . Moreover let  $y_n$  be such a sequence of points in  $Y$  that there exists a geodesic ray  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[f(y_0), f(y_n)]}$ . Furthermore, let the positive number  $\delta$ , natural number  $N$  and bounded set  $I \subseteq Y$  be such that for every  $n > N$ ,  $I$   $(3LA + \delta)$ -separates  $y_0$  from  $y_n$ . Then there exist an  $s \in [0, \infty)$  such that  $\gamma(s) \in N_A(f(I))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t \geq \sup_{y \in I} Ld_Y(y_0, y) + 2A + 1$  be a sufficiently large constant. Moreover we take  $n_0 > N$  such that  $d_X(\gamma_{[f(y_0), f(y_{n_0})]}(t), \gamma(t)) < 1$ . Since

$$\sup_{x \in N_A(f(I))} d_X(f(y_0), x) \leq \sup_{y \in I} d_X(f(y_0), f(y)) + A \leq L \sup_{y \in I} d_Y(y_0, y) + 2A$$

the entire geodesic segments between  $\gamma_{[f(y_0), f(y_{n_0})]}(t)$ ,  $\gamma(t)$  and between  $\gamma_{[f(y_0), f(y_{n_0})]}(t)$ ,  $f(y_{n_0})$  do not intersect the set  $N_A(f(I))$ . Therefore, since the geodesic segments between  $\gamma_{[f(y_0), f(y_{n_0})]}(t)$ ,  $\gamma(t)$  and between  $\gamma_{[f(y_0), f(y_{n_0})]}(t)$ ,  $f(y_{n_0})$  are outside of  $N_A(f(I))$  then  $\gamma(t)$  and  $f(y_{n_0})$  are in the same connected component of  $X \setminus N_A(f(I))$ . But from the  $R$ -separation lemma we know that the set  $N_A(f(I))$  separates  $f(y_0)$  from  $f(y_{n_0})$ , and thus it also separates  $(y_0)$  from  $\gamma(t)$ , therefore there exist an  $s \in [0, t]$  such that we have  $\gamma(s) \in N_A(f(I))$ .  $\square$

### Lemma 5.3

Let  $(Y, d_Y)$  be a metric space and  $(X, d_X)$  be a proper CAT(0) space such that there exists an  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ . Moreover, let  $y_n$  and  $\tilde{y}_n$  be such sequences of points in  $Y$  that  $y_0 = \tilde{y}_0$  and there exist geodesic rays  $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[f(y_0), f(y_n)]} = \gamma$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[f(\tilde{y}_0), f(\tilde{y}_n)]} = \tilde{\gamma}$ . Furthermore let  $\delta > 0$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\hat{y} \in Y$  be such that for every natural number  $n > N$  the ball  $I = B(\hat{y}, R)$   $(3LA + \delta)$ -separates  $y_0$  from  $y_n$  and  $\tilde{y}_0$  from  $\tilde{y}_n$ , where  $d_Y(y_0, \hat{y}) > R + 2AL$ . Then we have the following estimate in Osajda's metric:

$$d_{3A+2RL}(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}) \leq \frac{L}{d_Y(y_0, \hat{y}) - R - 2AL}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.2 we know that there exist  $s, \tilde{s} \in [0, \infty)$  such that  $\gamma(s), \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{s}) \in K$  where  $K = N_A(f(I))$ . Therefore by the triangle cutting lemma we know that

$$d_X(\gamma(\min\{s, \tilde{s}\}), \tilde{\gamma}(\min\{s, \tilde{s}\})) \leq \text{diam}(K).$$

We now can write the following estimates:

$$\text{diam}(K) \leq 2A + \text{diam}(f(I)) \leq 3A + 2RL$$

and

$$\min \{s, \tilde{s}\} \geq d_X(f(y_0), K) \geq d_X(f(y_0), f(I)) - A \geq \frac{1}{L}d_Y(y_0, I) - 2A \geq \frac{d_Y(y_0, \hat{y}) - R - 2AL}{L}.$$

Thus from convexity of the distance function we know that

$$\inf \{t \in [0, \infty) : d_X(\gamma(t), \tilde{\gamma}(t)) \geq 3A + 2LR\} \geq \min \{s, \tilde{s}\} \geq \frac{d_Y(y_0, \hat{y}) - R - 2AL}{L}$$

and therefore

$$d_{3A+2RL}(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}) \leq \frac{L}{d_Y(y_0, \hat{y}) - R - 2AL}.$$

□

#### Lemma 5.4

Let  $(Y, d_Y)$  be a metric space and let  $(X, d_X)$  be a proper CAT(0) space such that there exists an  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ . Moreover, let  $y_n$  and  $\tilde{y}_n$  be such sequences of points in  $Y$  that  $y_0 = \tilde{y}_0$  and there exist geodesic rays  $\gamma$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[f(y_0), f(y_n)]} = \gamma$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[f(\tilde{y}_0), f(\tilde{y}_n)]} = \tilde{\gamma}$ . Suppose there exist a ball  $I = B(\hat{y}, R)$ , a natural number  $N$  and a positive constant  $\delta$  such that for every  $n, m > N$  the set  $I$   $(3LA + \delta)$ -separates  $y_n$  from  $\tilde{y}_n$ . Then we have  $\gamma \neq \tilde{\gamma}$ , and in Osajda's metric  $d_2$  on  $\partial_{x_0}X$  we have the following inequality:

$$d_2(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}) \geq \frac{1}{Ld_Y(y_0, \hat{y}) + LR + 2A + 1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{y} \in Y$ ,  $R > 0, \delta > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that for all  $n_1, n_2 \geq N$  the set  $I = B(\hat{y}, R)$   $(3LA + \delta)$ -separates  $y_{n_1}$  from  $y_{n_2}$ . Then we can estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \{d_X(f(y_0), x) : x \in N_A(f(I))\} &\leq \sup \{d_X(f(y_0), f(y)) : y \in I\} + A \\ &\leq \sup \{Ld_Y(y_0, y) + A : y \in I\} + A \leq Ld_Y(y_0, \hat{y}) + LR + 2A. \end{aligned}$$

Let now  $t = Ld_Y(y_0, \hat{y}) + LR + 2A + 1$  and  $\hat{N} \in \mathbb{N}$  will be such that for all  $n \geq \hat{N}$  we have the following estimates:

$$d_X(\gamma(t), \gamma_{[f(y_0), f(y_n)]}(t)) < 1,$$

$$d_X(\tilde{\gamma}(t), \gamma_{[f(\tilde{y}_0), f(\tilde{y}_n)]}(t)) < 1.$$

From the triangle inequality we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(\gamma(t), N_A(f(I))) &\geq d_X(f(y_0), \gamma(t)) - \sup \{d_X(f(y_0), x) : x \in N_A(f(I))\} \\ &\geq t - Ld_Y(y_0, \hat{y}) + LR + 2A = 1, \\ d_X(\tilde{\gamma}(t), N_A(f(I))) &\geq d_X(f(\tilde{y}_0), \tilde{\gamma}(t)) - \sup \{d_X(f(\tilde{y}_0), x) : x \in N_A(f(I))\} \\ &\geq t - Ld_Y(y_0, \hat{y}) + LR + 2A = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore geodesic paths between  $\gamma_{[f(y_0), f(y_n)]}(t)$  and  $f(y_n)$ ,  $\gamma_{[f(\tilde{y}_0), f(\tilde{y}_n)]}(t)$  and  $f(\tilde{y}_n)$ ,  $\gamma_{[f(y_0), f(y_n)]}(t)$  and  $\gamma(t)$ ,  $\gamma_{[f(\tilde{y}_0), f(\tilde{y}_n)]}(t)$  and  $\gamma(t)$  are not going through the set  $N_A(f(I))$ . Therefore  $\gamma(t)$  and  $f(y_n)$  are in the same pathwise connected component of  $X \setminus N_A(f(I))$ , and  $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$  and  $f(\tilde{y}_n)$  are in the same pathwise connected component of  $X \setminus N_A(f(I))$ . Since by the  $R$ -separation lemma the set  $N_A(f(I))$  separates  $y_n$  apart from  $\tilde{y}_n$  in  $X$ , then  $N_A(f(I))$  is also separates  $\gamma(t)$  apart from  $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$  in  $X$ . Therefore  $\gamma(t) \neq \tilde{\gamma}(t)$ , which implies  $\gamma \neq \tilde{\gamma}$ . Moreover

$$d_X(\gamma(t), \tilde{\gamma}(t)) \geq d_X(\gamma(t), N_A(f(I))) + d_X(\tilde{\gamma}(t), N_A(f(I))) \geq 2$$

and thus in Osajda's metric  $d_2$  we have

$$d_2(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}) \geq \frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{Ld_Y(y_0, \hat{y}) + LR + 2A + 1}.$$

□

### Lemma 5.5

Let  $(X, d)$  be a proper  $CAT(0)$  space, let  $\gamma \in \partial_{x_0}X$  be a geodesic ray, let  $x_n \in X$  be a sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\gamma(0), x_n) = \infty$ . Suppose that there exists a constant  $A \geq 0$  such that for any  $n$  there exists  $t \geq 0$  such that  $d(\gamma(t), x_n) \leq A$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[\gamma(0), x_n]} = \gamma$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A > \varepsilon > 0, s \geq 0$  be any constants. We will show that for sufficiently large  $n$  we have  $d(\gamma_{[\gamma(0), x_n]}(s), \gamma(s)) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that for any  $n > N$  we have  $d(\gamma(0), x_n) > \frac{sA}{\varepsilon} + A$ . We know that there exists a  $t \geq 0$  such that  $d(\gamma(t), x_n) \leq A$ , thus from triangle inequality we have  $t \geq d(\gamma(0), x_n) - d(\gamma(t), x_n) > \frac{sA}{\varepsilon}$ . For simplicity of notation let  $t' = \min\{t, d(\gamma(0), x_n)\}$ . Then from convexity and triangle cutting lemma we have

$$d(\gamma(s)\gamma_{[\gamma(0), x_n]}(s)) \leq \frac{s}{t'}d(\gamma(t'), \gamma_{[\gamma(0), x_n]}(t')) < \frac{s}{sA\varepsilon}d(\gamma(t), x_n) \leq \varepsilon$$

which ends the proof. □

### Lemma 5.6

Let  $(Y, d_Y)$  be a metric space and let  $(X, d_X)$  be a proper  $CAT(0)$  space such that there exists an  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ . Moreover, let  $\gamma \in \partial_{x_0}X$  be a geodesic ray and let  $y_n \in Y$  be a sequence such that there exists a geodesic ray  $\gamma' \in \partial_{x_0}X$  such that  $\gamma' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[f(y_0), f(y_n)]}$ . Suppose that there exist a point  $\hat{y} \in Y$ , radius  $R > 0$  and natural number  $N$  such that the ball  $I = B(\hat{y}, R)$   $(3LA + \delta)$ -separates  $y_0$  from  $y_n$  for  $n > N$ . If there exists a number  $t \geq 6L^2A + 2L\delta + 4A$  such that  $d(f(\hat{y}), \gamma(t)) \leq A$  then we have the following inequality

$$d_{2RL+4A}(\gamma, \gamma') \leq \frac{1}{t - 6L^2A - 2L\delta - 4A}$$

where  $d_{2RL+4A}$  denotes the Osajda's metric on  $\partial_{x_0}X$ .

*Proof.* From Lemma 5.2 we conclude that there exist  $s \in [0, \infty)$  such that we have  $\gamma'(s) \in N_A(f(I))$ . We can now estimate that:

$$d_X(\gamma(t), \gamma'(s)) \leq d_X(\gamma(t), f(\hat{y})) + d_X(f(\hat{y}), \gamma'(s)) \leq A + \text{diam}(N_A(f(I)))$$

$$\leq 3A + \text{diam}(f(I)) \leq 2RL + 4A.$$

Therefore we have  $\min\{s, t\} \geq t - d_X(\gamma(t), \gamma'(s)) \geq t - 2RL - 4A$ . From convexity of the distance function and triangle cutting lemma we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(\gamma(t - 2RL - 4A), \gamma'(t - 2RL - 4A)) &\leq d_X(\gamma(\min\{s, t\}), \gamma'(\min\{s, t\})) \\ &\leq d_X(\gamma(t), \gamma'(s)) \leq 2RL + 4A \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$d_{2RL+4A}(\gamma, \gamma') \leq \frac{1}{t - 2RL - 4A}.$$

□

## 6 Categorisation of geodesic rays

### Definition 6.1 (generating sequence)

Let  $\Gamma = G * H$  be a free product of groups. We will say that a sequence  $k_n \in \Gamma$  is *generating* when it is of one of three types:

- (i) there are elements  $g_1 \in G, g_2, g_3, \dots \in G \setminus \{1\}$  and  $h_1, h_2, h_3, \dots \in H \setminus \{1\}$  such that  $k_n = g_1 h_1 g_2 h_2 \dots g_n h_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (ii) there are elements  $g_1 \in G, g_2, g_3, \dots, g_m \in G \setminus \{1\}, h_1, h_2, h_3, \dots, h_m \in H \setminus \{1\}$  and  $\hat{g}_1, \hat{g}_2, \hat{g}_3, \dots \in G$  such that  $k_n = g_1 h_1 g_2 h_2 \dots g_m h_m \hat{g}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (iii) there are elements  $h_1 \in H, h_2, h_3, \dots, h_m \in H \setminus \{1\}, g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots, g_m \in G \setminus \{1\}$  and  $\hat{h}_1, \hat{h}_2, \hat{h}_3, \dots \in G$  such that  $k_n = h_1 g_1 h_2 g_2 \dots h_m g_m \hat{h}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### Definition 6.2 (separated sequences)

Let  $\Gamma = G * H$  be a free product of groups. We will say that generating sequences  $k_n, k'_n$  are *separated* if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- $k_n, k'_n$  are both of type (i) and  $k_n \neq k'_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- $k_n, k'_n$  are both of type (ii),  $k_n = g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m \hat{g}_n$  and  $k'_n = g'_1 h'_1 \dots g'_m h'_m \tilde{g}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and either  $m \neq m'$  or  $g_i h_i \neq g'_i h'_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ;
- $k_n, k'_n$  are both of type (iii),  $k_n = h_1 g_1 \dots h_m g_m \hat{h}_n$  and  $k'_n = h'_1 g'_1 \dots h'_m g'_m \tilde{h}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and either  $m \neq m'$  or  $h_i g_i \neq h'_i g'_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ;
- $k_n, k'_n$  are of different types.

### Definition 6.3

Let  $\Gamma = G * H$  be a free product of groups and let  $k_n, k'_n$  be generating sequences. An element  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m \in \Gamma$ , where  $g_1 \in G, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{m-1} \in H \setminus \{1\}, g_2, g_3, \dots, g_m \in G \setminus \{1\}, h_m \in H$  is called a *separator* between  $k_n$  and  $k'_n$  if there exist an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any natural number  $n > N$  one of the sequences  $k_n, k'_n$  consists only of prolongations of  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m$  and the other consists only of elements that are not prolongations of  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m$ . Element  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m \in \Gamma$  is called the *minimal separator* if it is a separator between  $k_n$  and  $k'_n$  if it is a separator of minimal "length"  $m$ . The element  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m \in \Gamma$  is called a *common prefix* of generating sequences  $k_n, k'_n$  if there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every natural number  $n > N$  both  $k_n$  and  $k'_n$  are prolongations of  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m$ .

**Lemma 6.4**

Let  $k_n, k'_n$  be separated generating sequences. Then there exists a unique minimal separator  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m$  between  $k_n$  and  $k'_n$ , and it additionally satisfies the following property: there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every natural  $n > N$  both  $k_n$  and  $k'_n$  are prolongations of

- $g_1 h_1 \dots h_{m-1} g_m$  if  $h_m \neq 1$ ;
- $g_1 h_1 \dots g_{m-1} h_{m-1}$  if  $h_m = 1$ .

*Proof.* The proof consist of analyzing many analogical cases. In each of the cases the fact that the additional property from the statement holds is obvious and we omit its justification.

- Both  $k_n$  and  $k'_n$  are of type (i). Let  $k_n = g_1 h_1 \dots g_n h_n$ ,  $k'_n = g'_1 h'_1 \dots g'_n h'_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $m$  be the smallest number such that  $k_m \neq k'_m$ . If  $g_m \neq g'_m$  then  $g_1 h_1 \dots h_{m-1} g_m$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_m = g'_m$  then  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m$  is the minimal separator.
- Both  $k_n$  and  $k'_n$  are of type (ii),  $k_n = g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m \hat{g}_n$  and  $k'_n = g'_1 h'_1 \dots g'_{m'} h'_{m'} \tilde{g}_n$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $m \geq m'$ . If for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m'\}$  we have  $g_i h_i = g'_i h'_i$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_{m'} h'_{m'} g_{m'+1}$  is the minimal separator. If there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 1\}$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  we have  $g_j h_j = g'_j h'_j$  and  $g_i \neq g'_i$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots h_{i-1} g_i$  is the minimal separator. If there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 1\}$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  we have  $g_j h_j = g'_j h'_j$  and  $g_i = g'_i$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_i h_i$  is the minimal separator.
- Both  $k_n$  and  $k'_n$  are of type (iii),  $k_n = h_1 g_1 \dots h_m g_m \hat{h}_n$  and  $k'_n = h'_1 g'_1 \dots h'_{m'} g'_{m'} \tilde{h}_n$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $m \geq m'$ . If for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m'\}$  we have  $h_i g_i = h'_i g'_i$ , then  $h_1 g_1 \dots h_{m'} g'_{m'} h_{m'+1}$  is the minimal separator. If there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 1\}$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  we have  $h_j g_j = h'_j g'_j$  and  $h_i \neq h'_i$ , then  $h_1 g_1 \dots g_{i-1} h_i$  is the minimal separator. If there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 1\}$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  we have  $h_j g_j = h'_j g'_j$  and  $h_i = h'_i$  then  $h_1 g_1 \dots h_i g_i$  is the minimal separator.
- One of the  $k_n, k'_n$  is of type (i) and the other is of type (ii). Without loss of generality we assume that  $k_n$  is of type (i). Let  $k_n = g_1 h_1 \dots g_n h_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $k'_n = g'_1 h'_1 \dots g'_{m'} h'_{m'} \hat{g}_n$ . If for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m'\}$  we have  $g_i h_i = g'_i h'_i$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_{m'} h'_{m'} g_{m'+1}$  is the minimal separator. If there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 1\}$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  we have  $g_j h_j = g'_j h'_j$  and  $g_i \neq g'_i$  then  $g_1 h_1 \dots h_{i-1} g_i$  is the minimal separator. If there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 1\}$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  we have  $g_j h_j = g'_j h'_j$  and  $g_i = g'_i$  then  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_i h_i$  is the minimal separator.
- One of the  $k_n, k'_n$  is of type (i) and the other is of type (iii). Without loss of generality assume that  $k_n$  is of type (i). Let  $k_n = g_1 h_1 \dots g_n h_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $k'_n = h'_1 g'_1 \dots h'_{m'} g'_{m'} \hat{h}_n$ . If  $g_1 \neq 1$  and  $h'_1 \neq 1$ , then  $g_1$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 = 1$  and  $h'_1 = 1$ , then  $g_1 h_1$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 = 1$  but  $h'_1 \neq 1$  and for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m'\}$  we have  $h_i g_{i+1} = h'_i g'_i$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots h_{m'} g'_{m'+1}$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 = 1$  but  $h'_1 \neq 1$  and there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 1\}$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  we have  $h_j g_{j+1} = h'_j g'_j$  and  $h_i \neq h'_i$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_i h_i$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 = 1$  but  $h'_1 \neq 1$  and there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 1\}$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  we have  $h_j g_{j+1} = h'_j g'_j$  and  $h_i = h'_i$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots h_i g_{i+1}$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 \neq 1$  but  $h'_1 = 1$  and for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 1\}$  we have

$g_i h_i = g'_i h'_{i+1}$  and  $g_{m'} = g'_{m'}$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_{m'} h_{m'}$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 \neq 1$  but  $h'_1 = 1$  and for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 1\}$  we have  $g_i h_i = g'_i h'_{i+1}$  and  $g_{m'} \neq g'_{m'}$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots h_{m'-1} g_{m'}$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 \neq 1$  but  $h'_1 = 1$  and there exist  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 2\}$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  we have  $g_j h_j = g'_j h'_{j+1}$  and  $g_{i+1} \neq g'_{i+1}$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots h_i g_{i+1}$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 \neq 1$  but  $h'_1 = 1$  and there exist  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 2\}$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  we have  $g_j h_j = g'_j h'_{j+1}$  and  $g_{i+1} = g'_{i+1}$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_{i+1} h_{i+1}$  is the minimal separator.

- One of the  $k_n, k'_n$  is of type (ii) and the other is of type (iii). Without loss of generality assume that  $k_n$  is of type (ii). Let  $k_n = g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m \hat{g}_n$  and  $h'_1 g'_1 \dots h'_{m'} g'_{m'} \tilde{h}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $m \geq m'$ . If  $g_1 \neq 1$  and  $h'_1 \neq 1$ , then  $g_1$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 = 1$  and  $h'_1 = 1$ , then  $g_1 h_1$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 = 1$  but  $h'_1 \neq 1$  and for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m'\}$  we have  $h_i g_{i+1} = h'_i g'_i$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots h_{m'} g_{m'+1}$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 = 1$  but  $h'_1 \neq 1$  and there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 1\}$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  we have  $h_j g_{j+1} = h'_j g'_j$  and  $h_i \neq h'_i$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_i h_i$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 = 1$  but  $h'_1 \neq 1$  and there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 1\}$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  we have  $h_j g_{j+1} = h'_j g'_j$  and  $h_i = h'_i$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots h_i g_{i+1}$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 \neq 1$  but  $h'_1 = 1$  and for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 1\}$  we have  $g_i h_i = g'_i h'_{i+1}$  and  $g_{m'} = g'_{m'}$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_{m'} h_{m'}$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 \neq 1$  but  $h'_1 = 1$  and for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 1\}$  we have  $g_i h_i = g'_i h'_{i+1}$  and  $g_{m'} \neq g'_{m'}$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots h_{m'-1} g_{m'}$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 \neq 1$  but  $h'_1 = 1$  and there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 2\}$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  we have  $g_j h_j = g'_j h'_{j+1}$  and  $g_{i+1} \neq g'_{i+1}$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots h_i g_{i+1}$  is the minimal separator. If  $g_1 \neq 1$  but  $h'_1 = 1$  and there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m' - 2\}$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  we have  $g_j h_j = g'_j h'_{j+1}$  and  $g_{i+1} = g'_{i+1}$ , then  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_{i+1} h_{i+1}$  is the minimal separator.

□

### Lemma 6.5

Let  $\Gamma = G * H$  be a free product of non-trivial groups and let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  for a CAT(0) space  $X$ . Moreover let  $g_1 \in G$ ,  $g_2, g_3, \dots \in G \setminus \{1\}$  and  $h_1, h_2, h_3, \dots \in H \setminus \{1\}$ . Then there exists a geodesic ray  $\gamma \in \partial_{x_0} X$  such that  $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, g_1 h_1 g_2 h_2 \dots g_n h_n \cdot x_0]}$  does exist.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}_G$  and  $\mathcal{A}_H$  be finite sets of generators of  $G$  and  $H$  respectively, and let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_G \sqcup \mathcal{A}_H$ . From Švarc-Milnor lemma we know that there exists an  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow X$  between  $(\Gamma, d_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $X$ . Let  $\varepsilon, \delta$  be any positive constants and let  $M > \max\{\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}, 3LA + \delta\}$ . From fact 2.30 we know that

$$d_{\mathcal{A}}(1, g_1 h_1 g_2 h_2 \dots g_M h_M) \geq 2M > 6LA + \delta \quad \text{and} \quad 1/d_{\mathcal{A}}(1, g_1 h_1 g_2 h_2 \dots g_M h_M) < \frac{1}{2M} < \varepsilon.$$

Moreover for all  $n \geq 2M$  we have

$$d_{\mathcal{A}}(g_1 h_1 \dots g_M h_M, g_1 h_1 \dots g_n h_n) = d_{\mathcal{A}}(1, g_{M+1} h_{M+1} \dots g_n h_n) \geq 2n - 2M \geq 2M > 6LA + 2\delta.$$

Therefore from Lemma 5.1 the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, g_1 h_1 g_2 h_2 \dots g_n h_n \cdot x_0]}$  does exist. □

### Lemma 6.6

Let  $\Gamma = G * H$  be a free product of groups and let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  for a proper CAT(0) space  $(X, d)$ . Moreover let  $x_0 \in X$  be any point and let  $k_n, k'_n$  be separated generating sequences such

that the limits  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n \cdot x_0]} = \gamma$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k'_n \cdot x_0]} = \gamma'$  for geodesic rays  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \partial_{x_0} X$  respectively. Then we have  $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ .

*Proof.* From Lemma 6.4 we know that there exists a separator between  $k_n$  and  $k'_n$ , so let  $g \in \Gamma$  be any such separator between  $k_n$  and  $k'_n$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n \cdot x_0]}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k'_n \cdot x_0]}$  do exist,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_0, k_n \cdot x_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_0, k'_n \cdot x_0) = \infty$ . From Švarc-Milnor lemma we know that for a finite set of generators  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\Gamma$ , the spaces  $(X, d)$  and  $(\Gamma, d_{\mathcal{A}})$  are  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometric, and thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\mathcal{A}}(1, k_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\mathcal{A}}(1, k'_n) = \infty$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\mathcal{A}}(g, k_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\mathcal{A}}(g, k'_n) = \infty$ . Let  $R > 3LA$  and let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that for all natural numbers  $n > N$  we have  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(g, k_n) \geq 2R$  and  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(g, k'_n) \geq 2R$ . Therefore from Lemma 4.6 the ball  $I = B(g, R)$   $R$ -separates  $k_n$  from  $k'_n$  for all  $n, n' > N$ . From Lemma 5.4 we conclude that  $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ .  $\square$

### Lemma 6.7

Let  $\Gamma = G * H$  be a free product of nontrivial groups and let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  for a proper  $CAT(0)$  space  $(X, d)$ . Moreover let  $\gamma$  be a geodesic ray beginning at  $x_0$ . Then  $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n \cdot x_0]}$  for some generating sequence  $k_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma \in \partial_{x_0} X$  be any geodesic ray. Moreover let  $\mathcal{A}_G, \mathcal{A}_H$  be any finite sets generating  $G, H$  respectively. From Švarc-Milnor lemma we know that  $f : x_0 \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  is an  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry between  $(\Gamma, d_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $(X, d)$  and thus  $\Gamma \cdot x_0$  is  $A$ -quasi-dense in  $X$ . We define the set  $C \subseteq \Gamma$  as

$$C = \{g \in \Gamma : \exists t \geq 0 \ d(g \cdot x_0, \gamma(t)) \leq A\}.$$

Since for every  $t \geq 0$  there exists a  $g \in \Gamma$  such that  $d(\gamma(t), g \cdot x_0) \leq A$  and for any  $t, t'$  such that  $t + 2A > t'$  there cannot be any point  $x \in X$  such that  $d(\gamma(t), x) \leq A$  and  $d(\gamma(t'), x) \leq A$  then set  $C$  is infinite.

Let  $g \in \Gamma$  be such an element that infinitely many elements in  $C$  are prolongations of  $g$ . We will show that there exists at most one element  $g'$  which is such a prolongation of  $g$  that  $g^{-1}g' \in G \cup H$  and infinitely many elements in  $C$  are prolongations of  $g'$ . Assume on the contrary that there are two such elements  $g', g''$ . Then  $g''$  is not a prolongation of  $g'$  and  $g'$  is not a prolongation of  $g''$ . We will now define

$$C' = \{g \in C : g \text{ is a prolongation of } g'\}$$

$$C'' = \{g \in C : g \text{ is a prolongation of } g''\}.$$

Since sets  $C'$  and  $C''$  are unbounded, we conclude from Lemma 3.2 that there are sequences  $c'_n \in C'$  and  $c''_n \in C''$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, c'_n \cdot x_0]} = \gamma'$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, c''_n \cdot x_0]} = \gamma''$  for some geodesic rays  $\gamma'$  and  $\gamma''$ . Moreover for any  $\delta > 0$  and sufficiently large  $n', n''$  we have  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(g', c'_{n'}) \geq 6LA + 2\delta$  and  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(g', c''_{n''}) \geq 6LA + 2\delta$ , thus from Lemma 4.6 the set  $I = B(g', 3LA + \delta)$   $(3LA + \delta)$ -separates  $c'_{n'}$  from  $c''_{n''}$ . Therefore from Lemma 5.4  $\gamma' \neq \gamma''$ . On the other hand however, we know from Lemma 5.5 that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, c'_n \cdot x_0]} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, c''_n \cdot x_0]} = \gamma$ . The contradiction ends this parts of the proof.

Now we need to consider two cases:

- There are infinite sequences of elements  $g_1 \in G, h_1, h_2, \dots \in H \setminus \{1\}, g_2, g_3, \dots \in G \setminus \{1\}$  such that for every element  $k_n = g_1 h_1 \dots g_n h_n$ , infinitely many elements from  $C$  are

prolongations of  $k_n$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be any positive number, let  $m \geq 6LA + 2\varepsilon$  be a natural number and let  $c_n$  be any sequence consisting of all points in  $C$ . From Lemma 5.5 we know that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{x_0, c_n \cdot x_0} = \gamma$ . Moreover, for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there are  $\varepsilon > 0, N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any natural number  $n > N$  the element  $c_n$  is a prolongation of  $k_m$  and  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(c_n, k_m) \geq 6LA + 2\varepsilon$ . Therefore from Lemma 4.6 the ball  $I_m = B(k_m, 3LA + \varepsilon)$  ( $3LA + \varepsilon$ )-separates 1 from  $c_n$ , and thus from Lemma 5.2 there exists an  $s_m \geq 0$  such that  $\gamma(s_m) \in N_A(f(I_m))$ . From the definition of  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry we get the following estimate

$$d(\gamma(s_m), k_m \cdot x_0) \leq \text{diam}(N_A(f(I_m))) \leq 2A + \text{diam}(f(I_m)) \leq 6L^2A + 2L\varepsilon + 3A.$$

Therefore from Lemma 5.5 we know that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n \cdot x_0]} = \gamma.$$

- There is an element  $k_0 \in \Gamma$  such that infinitely many elements of  $C$  are prolongations of  $k_0$ , but there are no prolongations  $k'_0$  of  $k_0$  such that in  $C$  there are infinitely many elements that are prolongations of  $k'_0$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $k_0$  is of the form  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m$  for  $g_1 \in G, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m \in H \setminus \{1\}$  and  $g_2, \dots, g_m \in G \setminus \{1\}$ . Let  $c_n$  be a sequence consisting of all prolongations of  $k_0$  that are in  $C$  and let  $\hat{g}_n$  be such that  $c_n$  is either equal to, or is a prolongation of  $k_0 \hat{g}_n$ . Suppose that there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that there are infinitely many  $c_n$  such that  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(c_n, k_0 \hat{g}_n) \leq 6LA + 2\varepsilon$ . Let  $t_n$  be such that  $d(c_n \cdot x_0, \gamma(t_n)) \leq A$ , then we have

$$d(\gamma(t_n), k_0 \hat{g}_n \cdot x_0) \leq d(\gamma(t_n), c_n \cdot x_0) + d(c_n \cdot x_0, k_0 \hat{g}_n \cdot x_0) \leq 6L^2A + 2L\varepsilon + 2A.$$

Since this estimate does not depend on the choice of  $n$  then from Lemma 5.5 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_0 \hat{g}_n \cdot x_0]} = \gamma.$$

Now suppose that there are only finitely many  $c_n$  such that  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(c_n, k_0 \hat{g}_n) \leq 6LA + 2\varepsilon$ . From Lemma 5.5 we know that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, c_n \cdot x_0]} = \gamma$ , thus for a given  $s > 0$  and  $\frac{1}{[6L^2A + 2L\varepsilon + 3A]L} > \epsilon > 0$  let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that for all natural  $n > N$  we have  $d(\gamma(s), \gamma_{[x_0, c_n \cdot x_0]}(s)) \leq \epsilon$ ,

$$|k_0 \hat{g}_n|_{\mathcal{A}} \geq \frac{[6L^2A + 2L\varepsilon + 3A]Ls}{\epsilon} + 4LA + 6L^3A + 2L^2\varepsilon$$

and  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(c_n, k_0 \hat{g}_n) > 6LA + 2\varepsilon$ . Then from Lemma 4.6 the ball  $I = B(k_0 \hat{g}_n, 3LA + \varepsilon)$  ( $3LA + \varepsilon$ )-separates 1 from  $c_n$  in  $(\Gamma, d_{\mathcal{A}})$ . From the  $R$ -separation lemma we know that the set  $K = N_A(f(I))$  separates  $x_0$  from  $c_n \cdot x_0$ , thus there is a  $t$  such that  $\gamma_{[x_0, c_n \cdot x_0]}(t) \in K$ . Now we have the following estimates:

$$\text{diam}(K) \leq 6L^2A + 2L\varepsilon + 3A$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \min \{t, d(x_0, k_0 \hat{g}_n)\} &\geq \frac{|k_0 \hat{g}_n|_{\mathcal{A}}}{L} - A - \text{diam}(K) \geq \frac{|k_0 \hat{g}_n|_{\mathcal{A}}}{L} - 4A - 6L^2A - 2L\varepsilon \\ &\geq \frac{[6L^2A + 2L\varepsilon + 3A]s}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity we will denote  $t' = \min \{t, d(x_0, k_0 \hat{g}_n)\}$ . From convexity of distance function and triangle cutting lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\gamma(s), \gamma_{[x_0, k_0 \hat{g}_n \cdot x_0]}(s)) &\leq d(\gamma(s), \gamma_{[x_0, c_n \cdot x_0]}(s)) + d(\gamma_{[x_0, c_n \cdot x_0]}(s), \gamma_{[x_0, k_0 \hat{g}_n \cdot x_0]}(s)) \\ &< \epsilon + \frac{s}{t'} d(\gamma_{[x_0, c_n \cdot x_0]}(t'), \gamma_{[x_0, k_0 \hat{g}_n \cdot x_0]}(t')) \leq \epsilon + \frac{s}{t'} d(\gamma_{[x_0, c_n \cdot x_0]}(t), k_0 \hat{g}_n \cdot x_0) \\ &\leq \epsilon + \frac{s}{t'} \text{diam}(K) \leq 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_0 \hat{g}_n \cdot x_0]} = \gamma$  which ends the proof.  $\square$

### Lemma 6.8

Let  $\Gamma = G * H$  be a free product of groups, let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  for a proper  $CAT(0)$  space  $(X, d)$  and let  $x_0 \in X$ . Moreover let  $k_n, k'_n$  be such generating sequences that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n \cdot x_0]} = \gamma$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k'_n \cdot x_0]} = \gamma'$  for geodesic rays  $\gamma, \gamma'$ . Let  $k$  be a common prefix of  $k_n, k'_n$ . Furthermore let  $\mathcal{A}$  be any finite set of generators of  $\Gamma$ . There exists a constant  $R > 0$  (depending only on  $\Gamma, X, x_0$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ ) such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a constant  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $|k|_{\mathcal{A}} \geq N$  then  $d_R(\gamma, \gamma') < \epsilon$  for an Osajda's metric  $d_R$  on  $\partial_{x_0} X$ .

*Proof.* From Švarc-Milnor lemma we know that  $f : g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  is an  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry between  $(\Gamma, d_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $(X, d)$  for some  $L \geq 1, A \geq 0$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be any number, let  $R = 3A + 2(3AL + \delta)L$  and for given  $\epsilon > 0$  let

$$N > \max \left\{ \frac{L}{\epsilon} + 5LA + \delta, 2(3AL + \delta) \right\}$$

be a natural number. Since  $|k|_{\mathcal{A}} \geq N > 2(3AL + \delta)$  and  $|k_n|_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \infty, |k'_n|_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists an  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any natural number  $n > M$  from Lemma 4.6 the set  $I = B(k, 3LA + \delta)$   $(3LA + \delta)$ -separates 1 from  $k_n$  and 1 from  $k'_n$ . Therefore from Lemma 5.3 we have the following estimate:

$$d_R(\gamma, \gamma') \leq \frac{L}{|k|_{\mathcal{A}} - 3LA - \delta - 2LA} < \epsilon,$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

### Lemma 6.9

Let  $\Gamma = G * H$  be a free product of infinite groups, let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  for a proper  $CAT(0)$  space  $(X, d)$  and let  $x_0 \in X$ . Moreover let  $\gamma \in \partial_{x_0} X$  be any geodesic ray and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be any finite set of generators of  $\Gamma$ . There exist a constant  $R > 0$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist generating sequences  $k_n^{(i)}, k_n^{(ii)}, k_n^{(iii)}$  of type (i), (ii), (iii) respectively such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n^{(i)} \cdot x_0]} = \gamma^{(i)}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n^{(ii)} \cdot x_0]} = \gamma^{(ii)}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n^{(iii)} \cdot x_0]} = \gamma^{(iii)}$  for geodesic rays  $\gamma^{(i)}, \gamma^{(ii)}, \gamma^{(iii)}$  and  $d_R(\gamma, \gamma^{(i)}) < \epsilon, d_R(\gamma, \gamma^{(ii)}) < \epsilon, d_R(\gamma, \gamma^{(iii)}) < \epsilon$  for an Osajda's metric  $d_R$  on  $\partial_{x_0} X$ .

*Proof.* From Švarc-Milnor lemma we know that  $f : g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  is an  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry between  $(\Gamma, d_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $(X, d)$  for some  $L \geq 1, A \geq 0$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  and let  $t > \frac{1}{\epsilon} + 6L^2A + 2L\delta + 4A$  be a sufficiently large positive constant. Since from the definition of  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry the set  $\Gamma \cdot x_0$  is quasi-dense in  $X$ , then let  $g \in \Gamma$  be

such that  $d(k \cdot x_0, \gamma'(t)) \leq A$ . Let  $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ ,  $h \in H \setminus \{1\}$  be fixed elements and let  $\hat{g}_n \in G, \hat{h}_n \in H$  be any two sequences of elements of  $G, H$  such that  $\hat{g}_n \neq \hat{g}_m$  and  $\hat{h}_n \neq \hat{h}_m$  for  $n \neq m$ . Note that since sequences  $\hat{g}_n, \hat{h}_n$  consist of pairwise distinct elements then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{g}_n|_{\mathcal{A}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{h}_n|_{\mathcal{A}} = \infty$ . Let us consider two cases:

- $k$  has normal form  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m$ , where  $h_m \neq 1$ . Then we define

$$k_n^{(i)} = \begin{cases} g_1 h_1 \dots g_n h_n & \text{for } n \leq m \\ g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m (gh)^{n-m} & \text{for } n > m, \end{cases}$$

$k_n^{(ii)}$  is such a subsequence of  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m \hat{g}_n$  that there exists a geodesic ray  $\gamma^{(ii)}$  such that  $\gamma^{(ii)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n^{(ii)} \cdot x_0]}$ ,  $k_n^{(iii)}$  is such a subsequence of  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m g \hat{h}_n$  that there exists a geodesic ray  $\gamma^{(iii)}$  such that  $\gamma^{(iii)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n^{(iii)} \cdot x_0]}$ ;

- $k$  has normal form  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m$ , where  $h_m = 1$ . Then we define

$$k_n^{(i)} = \begin{cases} g_1 h_1 \dots g_n h_n & \text{for } n < m \\ g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h & \text{for } n = m \\ g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h (gh)^{n-m} & \text{for } n > m, \end{cases}$$

$k_n^{(ii)}$  is such a subsequence of  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h \hat{g}_n$  that there exists a geodesic ray  $\gamma^{(ii)}$  such that  $\gamma^{(ii)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n^{(ii)} \cdot x_0]}$ ,  $k_n^{(iii)}$  is such a subsequence of  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m \hat{h}_n$  that there exists a geodesic ray  $\gamma^{(iii)}$  such that  $\gamma^{(iii)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n^{(iii)} \cdot x_0]}$ .

In both cases all of the sequences  $k_n^{(i)}, k_n^{(ii)}, k_n^{(iii)}$  consist only of prolongations of  $k$  for  $n > m$ . Therefore from Lemma 4.6 we know that there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for natural  $n > N$  the ball  $I = (k, 3LA + \delta)$   $(3LA + \delta)$ -separates 1 from  $k_n^{(i)}, k_n^{(ii)}$  and  $k_n^{(iii)}$ . Therefore from Lemma 5.6 we know that for  $R = 6L^2 A + 2L\delta + 4A$  we have the following inequalities in Osajda's metric  $d_R$ :

$$d_R(\gamma, \gamma^{(i)}) < \varepsilon, \quad d_R(\gamma, \gamma^{(ii)}) < \varepsilon, \quad d_R(\gamma, \gamma^{(iii)}) < \varepsilon.$$

□

### Lemma 6.10

Let  $\Gamma = G * H$  be a free product of groups, let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  for a proper  $CAT(0)$  space  $(X, d)$  and let  $x_0 \in X$ . Moreover let  $\mathcal{A}$  be any finite set of generators of  $\Gamma$  and let  $k_n, k'_n$  be such generating sequences that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n \cdot x_0]} = \gamma$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k'_n \cdot x_0]} = \gamma'$  for geodesic rays  $\gamma, \gamma'$ . Let  $k$  be a separator of  $k_n, k'_n$ . There exist constants  $a \geq 1, b \geq 1$  depending only on  $\Gamma, \mathcal{A}, X$  and  $x_0$ , such that  $d_2(\gamma, \gamma') > \frac{1}{a|k|_{\mathcal{A}} + b}$ , where  $d_2$  is an Osajda's metric on  $\partial_{x_0} X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f : g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  be an  $(L, A)$ -quasi-isometry between  $(\Gamma, d_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $(X, d)$  and let  $a = L, b = L(3LA + \delta) + 2A + 1$ . From the definition of a separator and Lemma 4.6 we know that there exists a natural number  $N$  such that the set  $I = B(k, 3LA + \delta)$   $(3LA + \delta)$ -separates  $k_n$  from  $k_{n'}$  for  $n, n' > N$ . Therefore from Lemma 5.4 we know that  $d_2(\gamma, \gamma') \geq \frac{1}{a|k|_{\mathcal{A}} + b}$ , where  $d_2$  is an Osajda's metric on  $\partial_{x_0} X$ . □

## 7 Proof of the main theorem

### Theorem 7.1

Let  $\Gamma = G * H$  be a free product of infinite groups such that  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  for a proper  $CAT(0)$  space  $(X, d)$ . Then  $\partial X$  can be expressed in terms of  $\Lambda G$  and  $\Lambda H$  in the following way:

$$\partial X \cong \tilde{\sqcup}(\Lambda G, \Lambda H).$$

*Proof.* The proof will naturally split into five parts, each corresponding to the respective axiom of the dense amalgam as in definition 2.34. Let  $\mathcal{Y}_G = \{\Lambda(kG) : k \in \Gamma\}$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}_H = \{\Lambda(kH) : k \in \Gamma\}$  and let  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_G \sqcup \mathcal{Y}_H$  be distinguished families of subspaces of  $\partial X$ . Moreover let  $\mathcal{A}_G, \mathcal{A}_H$  be finite sets of generators of  $G, H$  respectively and let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_G \sqcup \mathcal{A}_H$ .

- (i) From Lemma 3.8 we know that for each  $\Lambda(kG), \Lambda(k'H) \in \mathcal{Y}_G$  and  $\Lambda(\hat{k}H), \Lambda(\hat{k}'H) \in \mathcal{Y}_H$  we have  $\Lambda(kG) \cong \Lambda(k'H)$  and  $\Lambda(\hat{k}H) \cong \Lambda(\hat{k}'H)$ . Let  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$  be two different copies of  $\Lambda G$  or  $\Lambda H$ . Then we need to consider two subcases:
- a) One of the copies  $Y_1, Y_2$  is a copy of  $\Lambda G$  and the other is a copy of  $\Lambda H$ . Without loss of generality let  $Y_1 = \Lambda(kG)$  and  $Y_2 = \Lambda(k'H)$ . Assume on the contrary that there exists a  $\xi \in \Lambda(kG)$  such that  $\xi \in \Lambda(k'H)$ . Then there is  $\gamma \in \Lambda_{x_0}(kG \cdot x_0)$  such that  $\gamma \in \Lambda_{x_0}(k'H \cdot x_0)$ . From the definition of limit set we obtain

$$\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, kg_n \cdot x_0]} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k'h_n \cdot x_0]}$$

but  $c_n = kg_n$  and  $c'_n = k'h_n$  are separated generating sequences. Therefore from Lemma 6.6 we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, kg_n \cdot x_0]} \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k'h_n \cdot x_0]}$ , a contradiction.

- b) Both  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are copies of  $\Lambda G$  or of  $\Lambda H$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $Y_1 = \Lambda(kG)$  and  $Y_2 = \Lambda(k'G)$  where  $k \neq k'g$  for every  $g \in G$ . Assume on the contrary that there exists a  $\xi \in \Lambda(kG)$  such that  $\xi \in \Lambda(k'G)$ . Then there is a  $\gamma \in \Lambda_{x_0}(kG \cdot x_0)$  such that  $\gamma \in \Lambda_{x_0}(k'G \cdot x_0)$ . From the definition of limit set we obtain  $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, kg_n \cdot x_0]} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k'g_n \cdot x_0]}$ , but  $c_n = kg_n$  and  $c'_n = k'g_n$  are separated generating sequences. Therefore from Lemma 6.6 we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, kg_n \cdot x_0]} \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k'g_n \cdot x_0]}.$$

- (ii) We know from Lemma 2.32 that it is enough to show that the family  $\mathcal{Y}$  is null with respect to any preferred metric  $d$  compatible with the topology on  $\partial X$ . We will show that both families  $\mathcal{Y}_G$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_H$  are null. Without loss of generality we need only to show that for the former. Let  $x_0 \in X$  and let  $R$  be a constant from Lemma 6.8 for the boundary  $\partial_{x_0} X$ . Suppose on the contrary that there exist an infinite sequence of cosets  $g_1^{(n)} h_1^{(n)} \dots g_{m(n)}^{(n)} h_{m(n)}^{(n)} G$  such that  $\text{diam}_R(\Lambda_{x_0}(g_1^{(n)} h_1^{(n)} \dots g_{m(n)}^{(n)} h_{m(n)}^{(n)} G \cdot x_0)) > \varepsilon$  where  $\text{diam}_R$  is a diameter in Osajda's metric  $d_R$ . Moreover, for the  $\varepsilon$  given above, let  $N$  be the constant from Lemma 6.8. Since the set of generators  $\mathcal{A}$  is finite, there are only finitely many words  $g_1^{(n)} h_1^{(n)} \dots g_{m(n)}^{(n)} h_{m(n)}^{(n)}$  such that  $\left| g_1^{(n)} h_1^{(n)} \dots g_{m(n)}^{(n)} h_{m(n)}^{(n)} \right|_{\mathcal{A}} < N$ . Let  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\left| g_1^{(n_0)} h_1^{(n_0)} \dots g_{m(n_0)}^{(n_0)} h_{m(n_0)}^{(n_0)} \right|_{\mathcal{A}} \geq N$ . For the sake of simplifying notation we will denote  $k = g_1^{(n_0)} h_1^{(n_0)} \dots g_{m(n_0)}^{(n_0)} h_{m(n_0)}^{(n_0)}$ . Then let  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Lambda_{x_0}(kG \cdot x_0)$  be any geodesic rays and let  $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, kg_n \cdot x_0]}$ ,  $\gamma' =$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, kg'_n \cdot x_0]}$ , where  $g_n, g'_n \in G$ . Note that  $kg_n, kg'_n$  are generating sequences and  $k$  is their common prefix. From Lemma 6.8 we get  $d_R(\gamma, \gamma') < \varepsilon$ , thus

$$\text{diam}(\Lambda_{x_0}(kG \cdot x_0)) \leq \varepsilon.$$

The contradiction proves that the family  $\mathcal{Y}_G$  is null.

- (iii) Let  $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$  be any of the embedded copies of  $\Lambda G$  or  $\Lambda H$ , without loss of generality we will assume that  $Y = \Lambda(g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m G)$ . Moreover let  $R$  be the constant from Lemma 5.9, let  $\gamma' \in \Lambda_{x_0}(g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m G \cdot x_0)$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be any positive number. Then from Lemma 6.9 we know that there exists a generating sequence  $k_n$  of type (i) such that  $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n \cdot x_0]}$  and  $d_R(\gamma, \gamma') < \varepsilon$  for an Osajda's metric  $d_R$  on  $\partial_{x_0} X$ . The generating sequence  $k_n$  is separated from any generating sequence of type (ii), thus it is separated from all generating sequences  $k'_n = g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m \hat{g}_n$ , where  $\hat{g}_n \in G$ . Therefore from Lemma 6.6  $\gamma \neq \gamma''$  for any  $\gamma''$  given as  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m \hat{g}_n \cdot x_0]}$ , so  $\gamma \notin \Lambda_{x_0}(g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m G \cdot x_0)$ . From the arbitrariness of the choice of  $\varepsilon$  we conclude that  $Y$  is a boundary subset.
- (iv) Without loss of generality we will show that  $\bigcup \mathcal{Y}_G$  is dense. Let  $R > 0$  be the constant from Lemma 6.9 and let  $\gamma \in \partial_{x_0} X$  be any geodesic ray. Then it follows from Lemma 6.9 that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a geodesic ray  $\gamma^{(ii)}$  such that  $d_R(\gamma, \gamma^{(ii)}) < \varepsilon$  in Osajda's metric  $d_R$  on  $\partial_{x_0} X$  and  $\gamma^{(ii)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n \cdot x_0]}$ , where  $k_n = g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m \hat{g}_n$  is a generating sequence of type (ii). Therefore  $\gamma^{(ii)} \in \Lambda_{x_0}(g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m G \cdot x_0) \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{Y}_G$ , and thus  $\bigcup \mathcal{Y}_G$  is dense in  $\partial X$ .
- (v) Let  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \partial_{x_0} X$  be any two geodesic rays such that  $\gamma, \gamma'$  do not belong to the same subset in  $\mathcal{Y}$  and let  $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n \cdot x_0]}$ ,  $\gamma' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k'_n \cdot x_0]}$  for generating sequences  $k_n, k'_n$  which we can always assume due to Lemma 6.7. Then  $k_n, k'_n$  are separated. Let  $k$  be any separator between  $k_n$  and  $k'_n$ . For simplicity of notation let  $K$  be the set of such generating sequences  $k''_n$  that there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any natural  $n > N$ , the element  $k''_n$  is a prolongation of  $k$ . We will show that the set

$$Q = \left\{ \gamma'' \in \partial_{x_0} X : \gamma'' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k''_n \cdot x_0]}, k''_n \in K \right\}$$

is clopen and  $\mathcal{Y}$ -saturated. Let  $\gamma_1 \in Q$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \partial_{x_0} X \setminus Q$ . From Lemma 6.7 we know that  $\gamma_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n^{(1)} \cdot x_0]}$  and  $\gamma_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, k_n^{(2)} \cdot x_0]}$ , and from the definition of  $Q$  we know that the sequence  $k_n^{(1)}$  for some point is a sequence of prolongations of  $k$  and no element of  $k_n^{(2)}$  is a prolongation of  $k$ . Therefore from Lemma 6.10 we know that there exist  $a \geq 1, b \geq 1$  such that  $d_2(\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}) > \frac{1}{a|k|_{\mathcal{A}} + b}$ . Thus

$$\bigcup_{\gamma^{(1)} \in H} B\left(\gamma^{(1)}, \frac{1}{a|k|_{\mathcal{A}} + b}\right) = Q$$

$$\bigcup_{\gamma^{(2)} \in \partial_{x_0} X \setminus Q} B\left(\gamma^{(2)}, \frac{1}{a|k|_{\mathcal{A}} + b}\right) = \partial_{x_0} X \setminus Q,$$

where  $B$  denotes a ball in Osajda's metric  $d_2$ . Therefore the set  $Q$  is clopen. Now let  $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$  be any of the embedded copies of  $\Lambda G$  or  $\Lambda H$ . Then we know that  $Y$  is of form  $\Lambda(\tilde{k}G)$  or  $\Lambda(\tilde{k}H)$ . Without loss of generality let us assume that  $Y = \Lambda(\tilde{k}G)$  and  $\tilde{k}$  has a normal form  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m$ . For every  $\hat{\gamma}, \tilde{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{x_0}(\tilde{k}G \cdot x_0)$

we know that  $\hat{\gamma} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m \hat{g}_n \cdot x_0]}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{[x_0, g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m \tilde{g}_n \cdot x_0]}$ , where  $\hat{g}_n, \tilde{g}_n \in G$ . From the definition of prolongation we conclude that either both  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m \hat{g}_n$  and  $g_1 h_1 \dots g_m h_m \tilde{g}_n$  are consist of prolongations of  $k$  or neither is. Therefore from the definition of  $Q$  either both  $\hat{\gamma}, \tilde{\gamma} \in Q$  or both  $\hat{\gamma}, \tilde{\gamma} \notin Q$  thus either  $Y \subseteq Q$  or  $Y \cap Q = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $Q$  is  $\mathcal{Y}$ -saturated. □

## 8 Open problems and concluding remarks

In this section we describe a few problems we encountered while working on the main theorem.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a group and let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  for a CAT(0) space  $X$ . For some subgroups  $G$  of  $\Gamma$  it appears that there is a non-empty, convex, closed,  $G$ -invariant subspace  $X_G$  of  $X$  such that  $G \curvearrowright X_G$ .

### Example 8.1

For example if we take the group  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$  that acts on the CAT(0) space  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$  by translations  $(n, m) \cdot (x, y) = (x + n, y + m)$ . If we take  $G = \mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$  subgroup of  $\Gamma$  then  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$  is a subspace of  $X$  having the properties described above.

### Open Problem 8.2

*Let  $\Gamma = G * H$  be a group for some groups  $G, H$  and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  for a CAT(0) space  $X$ . Is there a non-empty, convex, closed subspace  $X_G$  of  $X$  such that  $G \curvearrowright X_G$ ?*

If the answer to the above question were to be affirmative, then it would be possible to reformulate the main theorem in terms of subspaces of  $X$  and their boundaries, because if such a subspace  $X_G$  would exist, then  $\partial X_G = \Lambda G$ . Personally I believe that if a subgroup is a factor in a free product, then a non-empty, convex, closed subspace does always exist.

Open Problem 8.3 is a more general approach to the observation from beginning of this section.

### Open Problem 8.3

*Let  $\Gamma$  be a group and  $X$  be a CAT(0) space such that  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ . What conditions does a subgroup  $G$  of  $\Gamma$  need to meet for the existence of the subspace  $X_G$ ?*

It was shown in [2] (Proposition II.2.8) that if the subgroup  $G$  of  $\Gamma$  is finite, then there exists such a non-empty, convex, closed subspace of  $X$ . However, there are some groups that have infinite subgroups  $G$  for which the subspace  $X_G$  as described above cannot exist. It is not hard to observe that a necessary condition for existence of  $X_G$  is that the subgroup is undistorted in  $\Gamma$ . The following example of an infinite distorted subgroup in a CAT(0) group was presented in [7] (Theorem 1.6).

### Example 8.4 (Distorted CAT(0) group)

Let  $\Gamma = \langle a_0, a_1, a_2; a_0 a_1 = a_1 a_0 a_2^{-1} a_0 a_2 = a_1 \rangle$ . Then  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  for a CAT(0) space  $X$  and  $\Gamma$  has a finitely generated free subgroup  $F_2$  such that the distortion of  $F_2$  is a polynomial function of degree 2.

Generalizing the main theorem of this thesis should also be possible. Firstly, by using the properties of dense amalgams described in [6], we can extend the definition of dense

amalgam to the case where one or more of the  $X_i$  spaces are empty. This would allow us to describe the case of a free product of non-trivial groups. However, applying these changes requires some work to formally define all the cases and modify some of the lemmas.

Moreover, it should also be possible to generalize the main theorem to free products with amalgamation over a finite subgroup and HNN-extensions over finite subgroups. In the most general case, this can lead to a general theorem describing boundary at infinity for group graphs in which the edge groups are finite. This approach also requires modifying some lemmas, but in terms of the necessary ideas, it should not be significantly different from the methods presented in this thesis.

## 9 Bibliography

- [1] Paul E.Shupp and Roger C.Lyndon. *Combinatorial Group Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [2] Martin R.Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*. Springer, 1999. ISBN: 3-540-64324-9.
- [3] Christopher B.Croke and Bruce Kleiner. “The geodesic flow of a nonpositively curved graph manifold”. In: *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 12(3) (2002), pp. 479–545.
- [4] Panos Papasoglu and Eric Swenson. *Boundaries and JSJ decompositions CAT(0)-groups*. 2007.
- [5] Damian Osajda and Jacek Świątkowski. “On Asymptotically Hereditarily Aspherical Groups”. In: *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 111 (2015), pp. 93–126.
- [6] Jacek Świątkowski. “The dense amalgam of metric compacta and topological characterization of boundaries of free products of groups”. In: *Groups, Geometry and Dynamics* 10 (2016), pp. 407–471.
- [7] Hung Cong Tran. “On distortion of normal subgroups”. In: *Geometriae Dedicata* 212 (2021), pp. 261–279.